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## Missouri Department of Conservation Fiscal Year 2020 Budget Overview by Strategic Goal

|  | Prior Year Actuals (in millions) |  |  | FY2019 Original Budget | FY2019 <br> Mid Year Budget | FY2020 Request |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Amount |  | Increase (Decrease) from Original Budget |  | \% of Total |
|  | FY2016 | FY2017 | FY2018 |  |  | Amount | Percent |  |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conservation Sales Tax | \$115.4 | \$117.1 | \$119.6 | \$122,523,854 | \$122,523,854 | \$125,893,260 | \$3,369,406 | 2.8\% | 61.1\% |
| Permit Sales | \$34.6 | \$33.3 | \$33.9 | \$34,440,596 | \$34,440,596 | \$35,364,946 | \$924,350 | 2.7\% | 17.2\% |
| Federal Reimbursements | \$29.3 | \$30.4 | \$30.1 | \$32,667,628 | \$32,667,628 | \$33,637,857 | \$970,229 | 3.0\% | 16.3\% |
| Sales and Rentals | \$7.5 | \$7.3 | \$7.7 | \$7,500,000 | \$7,500,000 | \$7,750,000 | \$250,000 | 3.3\% | 3.8\% |
| All Other Sources | \$2.4 | \$4.2 | \$3.4 | \$3,497,741 | \$3,497,741 | \$3,497,741 | \$0 | 0.0\% | 1.7\% |
| Total Revenues | \$189.2 | \$192.4 | \$194.7 | \$200,629,819 | \$200,629,819 | \$206,143,804 | \$5,513,985 | 2.7\% | 100.0\% |
| Expenditures by Goal: * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal 1: MDC takes care of nature |  |  | \$45.3 | \$49,589,159 | \$47,178,899 | \$49,653,707 | \$64,548 | 0.1\% | 24.1\% |
| Goal 2: MDC connects people wit | nature |  | \$39.0 | \$45,195,248 | \$44,509,703 | \$47,543,713 | \$2,348,465 | 5.2\% | 23.0\% |
| Goal 3: MDC maintains public trust |  |  | \$99.7 | \$105,845,412 | \$105,496,217 | \$109,071,384 | \$3,225,972 | 3.0\% | 52.9\% |
| Total Operating and Cl Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prior to Major One-Time CI |  |  | \$184.0 | \$200,629,819 | \$197,184,819 | \$206,268,804 | \$5,638,985 | 2.8\% | 100.1\% |
| Major One-Time Capital Improvement Projects: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fountain Grove CA Golden Anniversary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wetland Renovation Phase II Pump Station Replacement |  |  |  | \$5,000,000 | \$2,500,000 | \$5,000,000 | Outcome 1.1 |  |  |
| Duck Creek CA GAWI Phase II |  |  |  | \$500,000 | \$500,000 | \$200,000 | Outcome 1.1 |  |  |
| Infrastructure Asset Management Program |  |  |  | \$3,000,000 | \$3,000,000 | \$6,000,000 | Outcome 2.1 |  |  |
| Total Major One-Time CI Project Expenditures |  |  |  | \$8,500,000 | \$6,000,000 | \$11,200,000 |  |  |  |
| Land Conservation and Partnerships |  |  |  | \$5,558,072 | \$5,558,072 | \$7,000,000 | Outcome 2.1 |  |  |
| Total Expenditures Request |  |  |  | \$214,687,891 | \$208,742,891 | \$224,468,804 | \$9,780,913 | 4.6\% |  |

* Includes Land Conservation and Partnerships and Construction except for Major One-Time Projects
** FY2018 Revenues budgeted for Land Conservation and Partnerships restricted due to appropriation authority
*** Revenues of $\$ 7.0$ M unexpended from FY2019 in Land Conservation and Partnerships are requested to be used for Capital Improvements in FY2020


## Missouri Department of Conservation Annual Total Budget Comparison by Strategic Plan Goal

Fiscal Year 2019 Original Budget to Fiscal Year 2020 Request

|  | Salaries |  | Hourly Labor |  | Benefits |  | Total Personal Service |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY2019 | FY2020 | FY2019 | FY2020 | FY2019 | FY2020 | FY2019 | FY2020 | \% Change |
| Goal 1: MDC takes care of nature | \$20,937,678 | \$21,716,250 | \$2,885,449 | \$2,961,009 | \$0 | \$0 | \$23,823,127 | \$24,677,259 | 3.6\% |
| Goal 2: MDC connects people with nature | \$13,927,104 | \$14,304,393 | \$2,414,178 | \$2,461,997 | \$0 | \$0 | \$16,341,282 | \$16,766,390 | 2.6\% |
| Goal 3: MDC maintains public trust* | \$31,250,682 | \$32,057,988 | \$1,386,999 | \$1,513,468 | \$29,679,849 | \$31,364,665 | \$62,317,530 | \$64,936,121 | 4.2\% |
| Total | \$66,115,464 | \$68,078,631 | \$6,686,626 | \$6,936,474 | \$29,679,849 | \$31,364,665 | \$102,481,939 | \$106,379,770 | 3.8\% |


|  | Personal Service |  | Expense |  | Equipment |  | Total <br> Personal Service, Expense \& Equipment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY2019 | FY2020 | FY2019 | FY2020 | FY2019 | FY2020 | FY2019 | FY2020 | \% Change |
| Goal 1: MDC takes care of nature | \$23,823,127 | \$24,677,259 | \$19,268,680 | \$20,708,586 | \$787,352 | \$737,862 | \$43,879,159 | \$46,123,707 | 5.1\% |
| Goal 2: MDC connects people with nature | \$16,341,282 | \$16,766,390 | \$13,017,414 | \$11,309,574 | \$385,552 | \$267,749 | \$29,744,248 | \$28,343,713 | -4.7\% |
| Goal 3: MDC maintains public trust* | \$62,317,530 | \$64,936,121 | \$30,440,850 | \$28,113,785 | \$8,852,032 | \$9,476,478 | \$101,610,412 | \$102,526,384 | 0.9\% |
| Total | \$102,481,939 | \$106,379,770 | \$62,726,944 | \$60,131,945 | \$10,024,936 | \$10,482,089 | \$175,233,819 | \$176,993,804 | 1.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Capital Improvements |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FY2019 | FY2020 | \% Change |
| Construction** |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$28,896,000 | \$33,975,000 | 17.6\% |
| Land Conservation and Partnerships*** |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,558,072 | \$13,500,000 | 27.9\% |
| Total Capital Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$39,454,072 | \$47,475,000 | 20.3\% |
| Total Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$214,687,891 | \$224,468,804 | 4.6\% |

* Includes department-wide funding for employee benefits, vehicle/heavy equipment, petroleum, and general construction projects.
** Construction projects by goal can be found in the Consrtuction Project List
** FY2019 budget includes FY2018 Revenues budgeted for Land Conservation and Partnerships restricted due to appropriation authority. Revenues of \$7.0 M unexpended from FY2019 in Land Conservation and Partnerships are requested to be used for Capital Improvements in FY2020


## Missouri Department of Conservation

## Fiscal Year 2020 Budget Overview

|  | Prior Year Actuals (in millions) |  |  | FY2019 Original <br> Budget | FY2019 <br> Mid Year <br> Budget | FY2020 Request |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Increase (Decrease) from Original Budget |  | \% of <br> Total |
|  | FY2016 | FY2017 | FY2018 |  |  |  | Amount | Amount | Percent |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conservation Sales Tax | \$115.4 | \$117.1 | \$119.6 |  | \$122,523,854 | \$122,523,854 | \$125,893,260 | \$3,369,406 | 2.8\% | 61.1\% |
| Permit Sales | \$34.6 | \$33.3 | \$33.9 | \$34,440,596 | \$34,440,596 | \$35,364,946 | \$924,350 | 2.7\% | 17.2\% |
| Federal Reimbursements | \$29.3 | \$30.4 | \$30.1 | \$32,667,628 | \$32,667,628 | \$33,637,857 | \$970,229 | 3.0\% | 16.3\% |
| Sales and Rentals | \$7.5 | \$7.3 | \$7.7 | \$7,500,000 | \$7,500,000 | \$7,750,000 | \$250,000 | 3.3\% | 3.8\% |
| All Other Sources | \$2.4 | \$4.2 | \$3.4 | \$3,497,741 | \$3,497,741 | \$3,497,741 | \$0 | 0.0\% | 1.7\% |
| Total Revenues | \$189.2 | \$192.4 | \$194.7 | \$200,629,819 | \$200,629,819 | \$206,143,804 | \$5,513,985 | 2.7\% | 100.0\% |
| Expenditures: Operating: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | \$60.6 | \$62.5 | \$62.2 | \$66,115,464 | \$66,115,464 | \$68,078,631 | \$1,963,167 | 3.0\% | 33.0\% |
| Hourly Labor | \$5.6 | \$5.8 | \$5.8 | \$6,686,626 | \$6,703,775 | \$6,936,474 | \$249,848 | 3.7\% | 3.4\% |
| Fringe Benefits | \$27.5 | \$27.7 | \$28.4 | \$29,679,849 | \$29,679,849 | \$31,364,665 | \$1,684,816 | 5.7\% | 15.2\% |
| Total Personal Service | \$93.7 | \$96.1 | \$96.4 | \$102,481,939 | \$102,499,088 | \$106,379,770 | \$3,897,831 | 3.8\% | 51.6\% |
| Expense | \$62.8 | \$62.3 | \$63.4 | \$62,726,944 | \$61,704,212 | \$60,131,945 | (\$2,594,999) | -4.1\% | 29.2\% |
| Equipment | \$11.3 | \$9.1 | \$8.8 | \$10,024,936 | \$11,030,519 | \$10,482,089 | \$457,153 | 4.6\% | 5.1\% |
| Total Operating | \$167.8 | \$167.5 | \$168.6 | \$175,233,819 | \$175,233,819 | \$176,993,804 | \$1,759,985 | 1.0\% | 85.9\% |
| Capital Improvements: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction | \$15.6 | \$21.8 | \$13.7 | \$20,396,000 | \$16,951,000 | \$22,775,000 | \$2,379,000 | 11.7\% | 11.0\% |
| Land Conservation and Partnerships | \$4.2 | \$4.8 | \$1.7 | \$5,000,000 | \$5,000,000 | \$6,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 30.0\% | 3.2\% |
| Total Capital Improvements | \$19.9 | \$26.6 | \$15.4 | \$25,396,000 | \$21,951,000 | \$29,275,000 | \$3,879,000 | 15.3\% | 14.2\% |
| Total Operating and Cl Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prior to Major One-Time CI | \$187.6 | \$194.1 | \$184.0 | \$200,629,819 | \$197,184,819 | \$206,268,804 | \$5,638,985 | 2.8\% |  |

## Missouri Department of Conservation Fiscal Year 2020 Budget Overview (continued)



## INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY:

## MDC Revolving Fund ***

\$125,000

Total Capital Improvement Request Including Major One-Time Projects:

| Construction | \$28,896,000 | \$22,951,000 | \$33,975,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Conservation and Partnerships | \$10,558,072 | \$10,558,072 | \$13,500,000 |
| Total Capital Improvement Request | \$39,454,072 | \$33,509,072 | \$47,475,000 |

[^0]Missouri Department of Conservation

## Annual Total Budget Comparison by Budget Unit

Fiscal Year 2019 Original Budget to Fiscal Year 2020 Request

|  | FY2019 | FY2020 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Administration | $\$ 2,120,508$ | $\$ 2,084,099$ |
| IT | $\$ 3,036,009$ | $\$ 3,073,531$ |
| Administrative Services * | $\$ 3,058,367$ | $\$ 3,085,352$ |
| Design \& Development | $\$ 7,477,503$ | $\$ 7,693,024$ |
| Fisheries | $\$ 6,685,508$ | $\$ 6,892,351$ |
| Forestry | $\$ 8,315,599$ | $\$ 8,539,148$ |
| Human Resources ** | $\$ 1,059,498$ | $\$ 1,087,235$ |
| Outreach and Education | $\$ 6,947,902$ | $\$ 7,087,772$ |
| Private Land Services | $\$ 3,661,593$ | $\$ 3,777,959$ |
| Protection | $\$ 10,449,872$ | $\$ 11,185,113$ |
| Resource Science | $\$ 4,472,152$ | $\$ 4,549,883$ |
| Wildlife | $\$ 7,990,537$ | $\$ 8,146,400$ |
| Site Administration | $\$ 840,415$ | $\$ 876,764$ |
| Total | $\$ 66,115,464$ | $\$ 68,078,631$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | PYersonal | Service |
|  | $\$ 2,252,803$ | $\$ 2,298,708$ |
| Administration | $\$ 3,196,609$ | $\$ 3,237,664$ |
| IT | $\$ 21,963,121$ | $\$ 23,701,112$ |
| Administrative Services * | $\$ 7,816,570$ | $\$ 8,039,550$ |
| Design \& Development | $\$ 7,389,794$ | $\$ 7,612,131$ |
| Fisheries | $\$ 9,235,178$ | $\$ 9,487,659$ |
| Forestry | $\$ 12,180,542$ | $\$ 12,250,009$ |
| Human Resources ** | $\$ 8,015,958$ | $\$ 8,133,884$ |
| Outreach and Education | $\$ 3,880,193$ | $\$ 4,001,368$ |
| Private Land Services | $\$ 10,455,289$ | $\$ 11,185,113$ |
| Protection | $\$ 5,729,529$ | $\$ 5,840,229$ |
| Resource Science | $\$ 9,293,437$ | $\$ 9,477,964$ |
| Wildlife | $\$ 1,072,915$ | $\$ 1,114,379$ |
| Site Administration | $\$ 102,481,939$ | $\$ 106,379,770$ |
| Total |  |  |

Total

## Administration <br> IT

Design \& Development
Fisheries
Forestry
Outreach and Education
Private Land Services
Protection

Wildlife

## Construction

Land Conservation and Partnerships
Total Capital Improvements

Total Budget

Tota

| Personal Service |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FY2019 | FY2020 | \% Change |
| $\$ 2,252,803$ | $\$ 2,298,708$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 3,196,609$ | $\$ 3,237,664$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 21,963,121$ | $\$ 23,701,112$ | $7.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 7,816,570$ | $\$ 8,039,550$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 7,389,794$ | $\$ 7,612,131$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 9,235,178$ | $\$ 9,487,659$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 12,180,542$ | $\$ 12,250,009$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 8,015,958$ | $\$ 8,133,884$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 3,880,193$ | $\$ 4,001,368$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 10,455,289$ | $\$ 11,185,113$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 5,729,529$ | $\$ 5,840,229$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 9,293,437$ | $\$ 9,477,964$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 1,072,915$ | $\$ 1,114,379$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 102,481,939$ | $\$ 106,379,770$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ |

## Tota

| Personal Service, Expense \& Equipment |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FY2019 | FY2020 | \% Change |
| $\$ 4,061,909$ | $\$ 4,008,550$ | $-1.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 14,241,209$ | $\$ 13,655,964$ | $-4.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 41,804,604$ | $\$ 43,442,980$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 9,602,353$ | $\$ 9,769,061$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 11,570,187$ | $\$ 11,649,896$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 14,168,934$ | $\$ 14,296,940$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 13,210,552$ | $\$ 13,253,639$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 14,420,278$ | $\$ 14,450,839$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 7,593,893$ | $\$ 7,630,568$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 12,073,939$ | $\$ 12,714,698$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 11,409,958$ | $\$ 11,373,028$ | $-0.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 19,189,387$ | $\$ 18,936,164$ | $-1.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 1,886,615$ | $\$ 1,811,477$ | $-4.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7 5} 23,819$ | $\$ 17,993,804$ | 1.0 |

$\mathbf{\$ 1 7 5 , 2 3 3 , 8 1 9 ~ \$ 1 7 6 , 9 9 3 , 8 0 4 ~ 1 . 0 \% ~}$

| Capital Improvements |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| FY2019 | FY2020 | \% Change |
| $\$ 28,896,000$ | $\$ 33,975,000$ | $17.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 10,558,072$ | $\$ 13,500,000$ | $27.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 39,454,072$ | $\$ 47,475,000$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 3} \%$ |

\$214,687,891 \$224,468,804
4.6\%

* Includes department-wide funding for employee benefits (excluding health insurance), vehicle/heavy equipment replacement,
petroleum, appropriations to other agencies, and the permit's Point-of-Sale system contract.
** Includes department-wide funding for health insurance.


## Missouri Department of Conservation

## Fiscal Year 2020 Personnel and Equipment Request Summary

The following is a breakdown of some of the major costs in the personal service and equipment budget categories.

| Personnel |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Budgeted FTE: |  |
| Salaried | $1,433^{(1}$ |
| Term | $36^{(2}$ |
| Hourly Labor | $279^{(3}$ |
| Construction Hourly Labor | $15^{(3}$ |
| Total Budgeted FTE | 1,763 |
| Hourly Positions: |  |
| 976 to 1,300 Hours | 78 |
| 1,301 to 1,600 Hours | 116 |
| Over 1,600 Hours | 62 |


|  | FY 2020 Request |  |  | Total Anticipated Units |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quipment | \# of Units | Replace Units | Add Units | $\begin{array}{r} \text { as of } \\ 6 / 30 / 2019 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { as of } \\ 6 / 30 / 2020 \end{array}$ | Replacement Guidelines |
| Sedans and Station Wagons | 2 | 2 | -2 | 11 | 9 | 140,000 miles |
| SUVs and Vans | 16 | 16 | -2 | 120 | 118 | 140,000 miles |
| $1 / 2$ - Ton Pickup Trucks | 83 | 80 | 3 | 624 | 627 | 140,000 miles |
| 3/4- Ton Pickup Trucks | 33 | 32 | 1 | 231 | 232 | 140,000 miles |
| Heavy Duty Trucks | 14 | 14 | 0 | 177 | 177 | 160,000 miles |
| Heavy Equipment | 10 | 10 | 0 | 137 | 137 | 4,000-10,000 hours and/or age and disrepair |
| Tractors | 5 | 5 | 0 | 187 | 187 | 4,000-8,000 hours and/or age and disrepair |
| Boats | 19 | 19 | 0 | 991 | 991 | 1,500 hours and/or age and disrepair |
| Boat Motors | 19 | 19 | 0 | 429 | 429 | 1,500 hours and/or age and disrepair |
| Trailers | 22 | 20 | 2 | 1,213 | 1,215 | Age and disrepair |
| ATV/UTV | 4 | 3 | 1 | 311 | 312 | Age and disrepair |
| Computer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Desktops | 238 | 238 | $0^{(4}$ | 771 | 768 | 5 years (Change from 4 yrs in FY14) |
| Laptops | 164 | 158 | $6^{(4}$ | 1,101 | 1,110 | 4 years |

[^1]
## Missouri Department of Conservation Summary of Fiscal Year 2020 Spending Authority Request

FY2020
To Office of Administration *
Legal Expense Fund (HB 5.130)
DOR IT Consolidation Expense \& Equipment (HB 5.025)
Worker's Compensation (HB 5.520, 5.525, 5.530)
\$1,327,600
Unemployment Compensation (HB 5.480)
\$100,000
Estimated Social Security Tax (HB 5.450)
Estimated MOSERS Retirement (HB 5.465)
\$5,233,150
\$14,562,033
Staff payments for Dental \& Vision through MCHCP (HB 5.490) \$199,128
To State Auditor *
Personal Service, Expenses and Equipment (HB 12.165) \$49,751
To Department of Revenue *
Expenses for Sales Tax Collection Personal Service and EE (HB 4.010) \$608,852
Expenses for Postage (HB 4.025) \$1,343
To Department of Conservation *
Personal Services (HB 6.600-HB 6.650) \$88,103,410
Expense and Equipment (HB 6.600-HB 6.650) \$76,984,145
Capital Improvement (HB 2017 Reappropriation)
\$23,640,000
Capital Improvement (HB 2018 Spending Authority for FY2020) \$32,375,000
Capital Improvement (HB 2019 Spending Authority for FY2020) \$18,205,000

* Based on Governor's Recommendation

|  | Missouri Depa Restricte |
| :---: | :---: |
| James D. Christie Trust | \$35,422 |
| Show-Me Inc./H.H. L.M. Berrier Trust | \$1,440,637 |
| Beaver Creek State Forest Trust | \$13,209 |
| Ralph and Martha Perry Trust | \$274,052 |
| George Wade and June Shelton Trust | \$399,293 |
| Bangert Island Trust | \$356,211 |
| Bernadette Sieving Trust | \$69,082 |
| Total Restricted Trust Accounts | \$2,587,905 |

## Missouri Department of Conservation

 Restricted Trust Accounts\$35,422
The Commission established this trust at the July 14, 1976 meeting; its purpose is to receive and disburse funds, for the Christie Conservation Area, in accordance with the guidelines of the estate.

This trust was established per the Commission Action dated December 20, 1985. All contributions received from Dr. Harry H. \& Lina M. Berrier and interest are to be set aside for purchasing land, which will be named in memory of the Berrier's.

This trust was established per the Commission Action dated August 19, 1980, solely for the maintenance of the Beaver Creek Conservation Area Archery Range.

This trust estate was originally established per the Commission Action dated December 13, 1971, to maintain, enlarge, improve and make available for public use and enjoyment the conservation and wildlife area known as the Ralph and Martha Perry Memorial Wildlife Area, including adjacent to, adjoining and in the vicinity of that certain tract of land donated during the Grantor's lifetime, which may include land to be acquired in Johnson County, Missouri.

This trust was established per the Commission Action dated November 2, 2007,"...to be used for the purchase, management, and control of a forest or wildlife area in the southern half of the State of Missouri. The acquired land shall be known as the Wade and June Shelton Memorial Conservation Area and the Conservation Commission or its successor shall erect and maintain a suitable marker on the area so designating it."

This trust was established per the Commission Action dated December 12, 2014 to enter into an agreement between the City of St. Charles and the heirs of Anna Luise and Edwin Kurtz that resulted in "...the ownership of Bangert Island being transferred to the City and the City making reasonable compensation to the Department as mitigation for the transfer of ownership. The Department will use the funds to acquire additional land in the St. Charles County/St. Louis metropolitan area for the conservation of forest, fish, and wildlife and public use."

This trust was estabilished by Ms. Bernadette Sieving per her trust dated October 13, 2014. Ms. Sieving was a conservation enthusiast and enjoyed volunteer work at Powder Valley Nature Center. Funds are to be used for the benefit of Powder Valley Conservation Nature Center.

## Goal 1: MDC takes care of nature

 Fiscal Year Comparison|  |  | $\frac{\text { Fiscal Year } 2018}{\text { Actual }}$ | Fiscal Year 2019 Original Budget | $\frac{\text { Fiscal Year } 2020}{\text { Request }}$ | FY2019 to F | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outcome |  | Amount | Amount | Amount | Amount | Percent |
| 1.1 Missouri has healthy land, water and forests |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Salaries | \$7,777,790 | \$8,043,627 | \$8,245,582 | \$201,955 | 2.5\% |
|  | Hourly Labor | \$1,256,327 | \$1,478,292 | \$1,519,046 | \$40,754 | 2.8\% |
|  | Expense | \$10,533,985 | \$10,247,988 | \$11,972,045 | \$1,724,057 | 16.8\% |
|  | Equipment | \$306,428 | \$305,743 | \$204,905 | $(\$ 100,838)$ | -33.0\% |
|  | Construction | \$963,203 | \$3,710,000 | \$2,540,000 | (\$1,170,000) | -31.5\% |
|  | Major 1-Time Projects | \$0 | \$5,500,000 | \$5,200,000 | (\$300,000) | -5.5\% |
|  | Total | \$20,837,734 | \$29,285,650 | \$29,681,578 | \$395,928 | 1.4\% |
| 1.2 Missouri has sustainable fish and wildlife |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Salaries | \$12,189,077 | \$12,894,051 | \$13,470,668 | \$576,617 | 4.5\% |
|  | Hourly Labor | \$1,259,180 | \$1,407,157 | \$1,441,963 | \$34,806 | 2.5\% |
|  | Expense | \$9,329,990 | \$9,020,692 | \$8,736,541 | (\$284,151) | -3.1\% |
|  | Equipment | \$258,915 | \$481,609 | \$532,957 | \$51,348 | 10.7\% |
|  | Construction | \$1,416,808 | \$2,000,000 | \$990,000 | (\$1,010,000) | -50.5\% |
|  | Major 1-Time Projects | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Total | \$24,453,970 | \$25,803,509 | \$25,172,129 | (\$631,380) | -2.4\% |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Salaries | \$19,966,867 | \$20,937,678 | \$21,716,250 | \$778,572 | 3.7\% |
|  | Hourly Labor | \$2,515,508 | \$2,885,449 | \$2,961,009 | \$75,560 | 2.6\% |
|  | Expense | \$19,863,976 | \$19,268,680 | \$20,708,586 | \$1,439,906 | 7.5\% |
|  | Equipment | \$565,342 | \$787,352 | \$737,862 | $(\$ 49,490)$ | -6.3\% |
|  | Construction | \$2,380,011 | \$5,710,000 | \$3,530,000 | (\$2,180,000) | -38.2\% |
|  | Major 1-Time Projects | \$0 | \$5,500,000 | \$5,200,000 | $(\$ 300,000)$ | -5.5\% |
|  | Total | \$45,291,704 | \$55,089,159 | \$54,853,707 | (\$235,452) | -0.4\% |

## Outcome 1.1: Missouri has healthy land, water and forests

## Key Strategies and Draft Measures to Reach Outcome:

1.1.1: Implement our Comprehensive Conservation Strategy (CCS) to prioritize and tier our approach to water and land management in Missouri

Draft Performance Measures for Strategy 1.1.1:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1.1.1.1 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Percentage of conservation management effort performed in Priority Geographies, Conservation } \\ \text { Opportunity Areas (COA), and other focal landscapes outside of the COA network }\end{array}\end{array}$
1.1.1.2 Percentage of acreage under conservation management in Priority Geographies on public, partner, and private lands
1.1.2: Maintain and improve the ecological functions of Missouri's watersheds and wetland systems Draft Performance Measures for Strategy 1.1.2:
1.1.2.1 Percentage of statewide stream monitoring sites meet the threshold for biological integrity
1.1.2.2 $100 \%$ of RAM sites in priority watersheds meet the threshold for biological integrity
1.1.3: Prevent, where possible, and control the impacts of priority invasive species and diseases.

Draft Performance Measures for Strategy 1.1.3:
1.1.3.1 Number of Feral Hogs Removed from Elimination Zones
1.1.3.2 Landscape resources saved/preserved from Feral Hog removals

## Outcome 1.2: Missouri has sustainable fish and wildlife

## Key Strategies and Draft Measures to Reach Outcome:

1.2.1: Manage, through sound science, harvestable fish and wildlife species of biologically and socially acceptable levels Draft Performance Measures for Strategy 1.2.1:
1.2.1.1 Game Fish Populations are meeting management objectives for priority species
1.2.1.2 Game Wildlife Populations are meeting priority management objectives
1.2.1.3 Prevalence of Chronic Wasting Disease in CWD-positive counties
1.2.2: Recover and maintain species of conservation concern (SOCC) to sustainable levels

Draft Performance Measures for Strategy 1.2.2:
1.2.2.1 Percentage of the recovery actions identified in the recovery work plans for priority state listed species are on track or complete
1.2.2.2 Percentage of the state listed species are improving their status
1.2.3: Increase voluntary compliance with the Wildlife Code of Missouri through a community policing approach to resource law enforcement

Draft Performance Measures for Strategy 1.2.3:
1.2.3.1 Wildlife Code enforcement contact compliance rate meeting its target
1.2.3.2 Wildlife law enforcement conviction rate is meeting its target

## Goal 2: MDC connects people with nature

Fiscal Year Comparison

|  |  | Fiscal Year 2018 | Fiscal Year 2019 | Fiscal Year 2020 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Original Budget | Request | FY2019 to F | Change |
| Outcome |  | Amount | Amount | Amount | Amount | Percent |
| 2.1 Missourians have places to go to enjoy nature |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Salaries | \$6,379,640 | \$6,904,397 | \$7,085,262 | \$180,865 | 2.6\% |
|  | Hourly Labor | \$1,110,295 | \$1,243,522 | \$1,273,392 | \$29,870 | 2.4\% |
|  | Expense | \$5,132,570 | \$4,274,345 | \$4,322,761 | \$48,416 | 1.1\% |
|  | Equipment | \$413,666 | \$359,952 | \$236,089 | $(\$ 123,863)$ | -34.4\% |
|  | Construction | \$6,480,384 | \$9,521,000 | \$10,795,000 | \$1,274,000 | 13.4\% |
|  | Major 1-Time Projects | \$0 | \$3,000,000 | \$6,000,000 | \$3,000,000 | 100.0\% |
|  | Land Conservation \& |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Partnerships | \$1,330,662 | \$10,558,072 | \$6,500,000 | (\$4,058,072) | -38.4\% |
|  | Land Conservation \& |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Partnerships-1-Time | \$0 | \$0 | \$7,000,000 | \$7,000,000 | 0.0\% |
|  | Total | \$20,847,218 | \$35,861,288 | \$43,212,504 | \$7,351,216 | 20.5\% |
| 2.2 Missourians value nature |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Salaries | \$6,679,699 | \$7,022,707 | \$7,219,131 | \$196,424 | 2.8\% |
|  | Hourly Labor | \$862,268 | \$1,170,656 | \$1,188,605 | \$17,949 | 1.5\% |
|  | Expense | \$8,406,557 | \$8,743,069 | \$6,986,813 | (\$1,756,256) | -20.1\% |
|  | Equipment | \$84,174 | \$25,600 | \$31,660 | \$6,060 | 23.7\% |
|  | Construction | \$2,159,650 | \$930,000 | \$1,905,000 | \$975,000 | 104.8\% |
|  | Major 1-Time Projects | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Land Conservation \& |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Partnerships | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Total | \$18,192,348 | \$17,892,032 | \$17,331,209 | $(\$ 560,823)$ | -3.1\% |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Salaries | \$13,059,339 | \$13,927,104 | \$14,304,393 | \$377,289 | 2.7\% |
|  | Hourly Labor | \$1,972,562 | \$2,414,178 | \$2,461,997 | \$47,819 | 2.0\% |
|  | Expense | \$13,539,127 | \$13,017,414 | \$11,309,574 | (\$1,707,840) | -13.1\% |
|  | Equipment | \$497,841 | \$385,552 | \$267,749 | $(\$ 117,803)$ | -30.6\% |
|  | Construction | \$8,640,034 | \$10,451,000 | \$12,700,000 | \$2,249,000 | 21.5\% |
|  | Major 1-Time Projects | \$0 | \$3,000,000 | \$6,000,000 | \$3,000,000 | 100.0\% |
|  | Land Conservation \& |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Partnerships | \$1,330,662 | \$10,558,072 | \$6,500,000 | (\$4,058,072) | -38.4\% |
|  | Land Conservation \& |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Partnerships - 1-Time | \$0 | \$0 | \$7,000,000 | \$7,000,000 | 0.0\% |
|  | Total | \$39,039,566 | \$53,753,320 | \$60,543,713 | \$6,790,393 | 12.6\% |

## Goal 2: MDC CONNECTS PEOPLE WITH NATURE <br> Fiscal Year 2020 Budget

## Outcome 2.1: Missourians have places to go to enjoy nature

## Key Strategies and Draft Measures to Reach Outcome:

2.1.1: Use our Land Conservations Strategy (LCS) to focus future acquisitions, disposals, and resource protection Draft Performance Measures for Strategy 2.1.1:
2.1.1.1 Conserved lands acquisition and disposals are consistent with holdings priorities
2.1.1.2 $80 \%$ of Missourians are within 15 miles of public access to nature
2.1.2: Implement a Community Conservation strategy

Draft Performance Measures for Strategy 2.1.2:
2.1.2.1 Percentage of Community Conservation work done in priority counties
2.1.2.2 Percentage of Citizens who say they experience nature where they live
2.1.3: Expand opportunities for outdoor recreation activities on MDC and partner lands and facilities

Draft Performance Measures for Strategy 2.1.3:
2.1.3.1 Number of Recreational activities added to MDC lands
2.1.3.2 Number of new activities added to MDC lands
2.1.4 Implement a tiered approach to area maintenance and infrastructure development

Draft Performance Measures for Strategy 2.1.4:
2.1.4.1 $75 \%$ of construction spending goes to maintenance and repair projects of existing infrastructure

## Goal 2: MDC CONNECTS PEOPLE WITH NATURE <br> Fiscal Year 2020 Budget

## Outcome 2.2: Missourians value nature

## Key Strategies and Draft Measures to Reach Outcome:

2.2.1: Develop a statewide relevancy campaign to showcase the importance of nature in our economic vitality and quality of life, and increase support for conservation

Draft Performance Measures for Strategy 2.1.1:
2.2.1.1 Number of citizen interactions
2.2.1.2 Percent of citizens interested in conservation
2.2.1.3 Number of jobs supported by conservation
2.2.2: Deliver efficient and effective nature-based educational programs to diverse audiences

Draft Performance Measures for Strategy 2.2.2:
2.2.2.1 Number of unique digital connections
2.2.2.2 Percentage of schools using formal MDC curriculum in the classroom
2.2.2.3 Number of attendees for MDC-led formal education programs
2.2.3: Cultivate partnerships with organizations that build MDC's capacity to deliver conservation

Draft Performance Measures for Strategy 2.2.3:
2.2.3.1 Number of cooperators

## Goal 3: MDC maintains public trust Fiscal Year Comparison



## Goal 3: MDC MAINTAINS PUBLIC TRUST Fiscal Year 2020 Budget

Outcome 3.1: Missourians are confident their investments are used wisely

## Key Strategies and Draft Measures to Reach Outcome:

3.1.1: Anticipate the needs of customers and deliver high-quality products and services

Draft Performance Measures for Strategy 3.1.1:
3.1.1.1 External customer satisfaction scores
3.1.1.2 Internal customer satisfaction scores
3.1.2: Promote a culture of continuous improvement

Draft Performance Measures for Strategy 3.1.2:
3.1.2.1 Percentage of staff achieving 'white belt' status for continuous improvement
3.1.2.2 Percentage of staff achieving 'yellow belt' status for continuous improvement
3.1.2.3 Percentage of supervisory staff achieving 'green belt' status for continuous improvement
3.1.2.4 Customer Effort Score (Internal)
3.1.2.5 Customer Effort Score (External)

## Goal 3: MDC MAINTAINS PUBLIC TRUST <br> Fiscal Year 2020 Budget

## Outcome 3.2: Missouri is a recognized leader in conservation

## Key Strategies to Reach Outcome:

3.2.1: Recruit, develop and retain a diverse and skilled workforce

Draft Performance Measures for Strategy 3.2.1:
3.2.1.1 Employee Retention Rate
3.2.1.2 Average Number of External qualified applicants per posted vacancy
3.2.1.3 Job Offer Acceptance Rate
3.2.1.4 Staff diversity
3.2.2: $S u p p o r t ~ a ~ p o s i t i v e ~ w o r k ~ e n v i r o n m e n t ~ w h e r e ~ a l l ~ p e o p l e ~ a r e ~ v a l u e d ~ a n d ~ r e s p e c t e d ~$ Draft Performance Measures for Strategy 3.2.2:
3.2.2.1 Percentage of internal advancements
3.2.2.2 Staff Engagement Survey Score

## DRAFT

## FY2020 Total Construction Request

|  | House Bill | County | Region | Area Name | Project Name Str | Strategic Plan Outcome | Budget | Outside <br> Funding | FY20 <br> Estimate | FY21 and Beyond Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HB17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY12 | HB17 | Linn/Livingston | NW | Fountain Grove CA | Golden Anniversary Wetland Renovation Phase II Pump Station Replacement | n 1.1 | 11,000,000 | N | 5,000,000 | 1,000,000 |
| FY17 | HB17 | Cape Girardeau | SE | Southeast Regional Office | Building Addition | GAO | 660,000 |  | 600,000 | 0 |
| FY14 | HB17 | Barry | SW | Roaring River Fish Hatchery | Spring Pool Renovation | 1.2 | 2,000,000 | F | 500,000 | 0 |
| FY19 | HB17 | Johnson | KC | Perry (Ralph and Martha) Mem CA | Range Relocation | 2.1 | 750,000 |  | 500,000 | 0 |
| FY17 | HB17 | Jackson | KC | Gorman (The Anita B) Conservation Discovery Center | Entrance Canopy Replacement | 2.2 | 400,000 |  | 350,000 | 50,000 |
| FY13 | HB17 | Bollinger/Stoddar d/Wayne |  | Duck Creek CA | GAWI Phase II | 1.1 | 3,000,000 | N | 200,000 | 0 |
| FY19 | HB17 | Jasper | SW | Shoal Creek <br> Conservation <br> Education Center | Exhibits Improvements | 2.2 | 250,000 |  | 200,000 | 50,000 |
| FY15 | HB17 | Cape Girardeau | SE | Headwaters Access | Boat Ramp Renovation | 2.1 | 600,000 | F | 100,000 | 0 |
| FY15 | HB17 | Dallas | SW | Lead Mine CA | Bridge Improvements | 1.1 | 275,000 | F | 100,000 | 0 |


| Outside Funding Source: |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C=$ Corp of Engineers DNR = Department of Natural Resources <br> F $=$ Federal Sport Fish Restoration | N = North American Wetland Conservation Act $($ NAWCA $)$ | O $=$ Other |

## FY2020 Total Construction Request

|  | House Bill | County | Region | Area Name | Project Name S | Strategic Plan Outcome | Budget | Outside <br> Funding | FY20 <br> Estimate | FY21 and Beyond Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HB17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY15 | HB17 | Pike | NE | Ranacker CA | Bridge Improvements | 1.1 | 375,000 |  | 100,000 | 0 |
| FY16 | HB17 | St. Clair/Vernon | KC | Schell-Osage CA | Golden Anniversary Wetland Renovation Phase I Pump Station Development | 1.1 | 2,700,000 | N | 100,000 | 2,600,000 |
| FY15 | HB17 | Camden | C | Fiery Fork CA | Bridge Improvements | 1.1 | 500,000 |  | 50,000 | 0 |
| FY15 | HB17 | Boone | C | Green (Charles W) CA | Forestry Storage Bay Improvements | GAO | 55,000 |  | 50,000 | 0 |
| FY19 | HB17 | St. Louis | SL | Columbia Bottom Conservation Area | Entrance Gate | 2.1 | 100,000 |  | 50,000 | 0 |
| FY15 | HB17 | Maries | C | Paydown Access | Boat Ramp Relocation | 2.1 | 95,000 | F | 45,000 | 0 |
| FY14 | HB17 | Boone | C | Green (Charles W) CA | Forestry Storage Lean-To | GAO | 30,000 |  | 20,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  | 22,790,000 |  | 7,965,000 | 3,700,000 |
| Maintenance \& Repair |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY18 | HB17 | Cole | C | Conservation Commission Hq | Distribution Center Roof Replacement | GAO | 450,000 |  | 450,000 | 0 |
| FY17 | HB17 | Jackson | KC | Gorman (The Anita B) Conservation Discovery Center | Roof Replacement | 2.2 | 400,000 |  | 300,000 | 0 |
| FY13 | HB17 | Andrew/Holt | NW | Nodaway Valley CA | Wetland Pump Replacement | 1.1 | 260,000 |  | 160,000 | 0 |

Outside Funding Source:
C $=$ Corp of Engineers
$F=$ Federal Sport Fish Restoration

| DNR = Department of Natural Resources | $D U=$ Ducks Unlimited |
| :--- | :--- |
| $N=$ North American Wetland Conservation Act (NAWCA) | $O=$ Other |

## FY2020 Total Construction Request

|  | House Bill | County | Region | Area Name | Project Name Str | ategic Plan Outcome | Budget | Outside <br> Funding | FY20 <br> Estimate | FY21 and Beyond Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HB17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY14 | HB17 | Bates/Vernon | KC | Four Rivers CA (August A Busch Mem CA Wetlands) | Unit 4 Structure Gate Replacement | 1.1 | 150,000 |  | 100,000 | 0 |
| FY15 | HB17 | Boone | C | Central Regional Office | Stone Veneer Replacement | GAO | 130,000 |  | 100,000 | 0 |
| FY14 | HB17 | Bates/Vernon | KC | Four Rivers CA (August A Busch Mem CA Wetlands) | Water Control Gate Replacement | 1.1 | 380,000 |  | 80,000 | 0 |
| FY18 | HB17 | St. Charles | SL | Busch (August A) Mem CA | Berm Renovation | GAO | 80,000 |  | 70,000 | 0 |
| FY16 | HB17 | Camden | C | Camdenton CSC | Flooring Replacement | GAO | 50,000 |  | 40,000 | 0 |
| FY15 | HB17 | Cole | C | Conservation Commission Hq | Runge CNC Operable Room Divider Replacement | 2.2 | 35,000 |  | 35,000 | 0 |
| FY16 | HB17 | Pike | NE | Shanks (Ted) CA | Headquarters Window Replacement | GAO | 40,000 |  | 30,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  | 1,975,000 |  | 1,365,000 | 0 |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY15 | HB17 | Pike | NE | Shanks (Ted) CA | Water Control Structures Replacement | 1.1 | 2,200,000 |  | 1,500,000 | 0 |
| FY17 | HB17 | Dallas | SW | Buffalo Radio Facility | Tower Replacement | GAO | 260,000 |  | 230,000 | 0 |
| FY17 | HB17 | Bates | KC | Appleton City Radio Facility | Tower Replacement | GAO | 245,000 |  | 220,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | Outside Fundin $\begin{aligned} & C=\text { Corp } \\ & F=F e d e r \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { g Source: } \\ \text { of Engineers } & \\ \text { ral Sport Fish Restoration } & \text { DNR }=\text { ( } \\ \text { ror }\end{array}$ | Department of Natural Resources <br> th American Wetland Conservation Act (NAWC |  |  | DU $=$ Ducks Unlimited <br> CA) $O=$ Other |  |

## FY2020 Total Construction Request

|  | House Bill | County | Region | Area Name | Project Name | Strategic Plan Outcome | Budget | Outside <br> Funding | FY20 <br> Estimate | FY21 and Beyond Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HB17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY17 | HB17 | Osage | C | Meta Towersite | Tower Replacement | GAO | 245,000 |  | 220,000 | 0 |
| FY17 | HB17 | Benton | KC | Mount Hulda Towersite | Tower Replacement | GAO | 245,000 |  | 220,000 | 0 |
| FY19 | HB17 | Platte | KC | Platte Falls CA | Tower Replacement | GAO | 225,000 |  | 210,000 | 0 |
| FY18 | HB17 | Taney | SW | Hilltop Towersite | Tower Replacement | GAO | 200,000 |  | 190,000 | 0 |
| FY18 | HB17 | Johnson | KC | Kingsville Radio Facility | Tower Replacement | GAO | 200,000 |  | 190,000 | 0 |
| FY18 | HB17 | Lincoln | SL | Logan (William R) CA | Tower Replacement | GAO | 200,000 |  | 190,000 | 0 |
| FY19 | HB17 | Bollinger | SE | Gipsy Towersite | Tower Replacement | GAO | 200,000 |  | 190,000 | 0 |
| FY18 | HB17 | Pulaski | OZ | Fort Leonard Wood Towersite | Tower Replacement | GAO | 180,000 |  | 170,000 | 0 |
| FY17 | HB17 | Howell | OZ | Mountain View Towersite | Tower Replacement | GAO | 165,000 |  | 160,000 | 0 |
| FY18 | HB17 | Holt | NW | Riverbreaks CA | Milne Creek Dam Repair | 1.1 | 100,000 |  | 100,000 | 0 |
| FY17 | HB17 | St. Charles | SL | Busch (August A) Mem CA Shooting Range and Outdoor Education Center | Base Tower Addition | GAO | 65,000 |  | 60,000 | 0 |
| FY17 | HB17 | Greene | SW | Dalton (Andy) Shooting Range and Outdoor Education Center | Tower Replacement | GAO | 70,000 |  | 60,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | Outside Fundin $\begin{aligned} & C=C o r p \\ & F=F e d e r \end{aligned}$ | Source: <br> of Engineers <br> al Sport Fish Restoration | $\begin{aligned} & D N R=\text { Department of } \mathrm{Na} \\ & N=\text { North American Wetl } \end{aligned}$ | ural Resource <br> and Conserva | ion Act (NAW | $\begin{array}{ll}  & D U=I \\ C A) & O=O t \end{array}$ | Ducks Unlimited <br> ther |

## FY2020 Total Construction Request



## FY2020 Total Construction Request

|  | House Bill | County | Region | Area Name | Project Name St | Strategic Plan Outcome | Budget | Outside <br> Funding | FY20 <br> Estimate | FY21 and Beyond Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HB18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  | 1,240,000 |  | 0 | 1,240,000 |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY20 | HB18 | St. Louis | SL | Henges (Jay) Shooting Range and Outdoor Education Center | Bullet Trap Replacement | 2.1 | 1,000,000 |  | 500,000 | 500,000 |
| FY18 | HB18 | Lawrence | SW | Chesapeake Fish Hatchery | Heat Pump Replacement | 1.2 | 390,000 | F | 390,000 | 0 |
| FY18 | HB18 | Lafayette | KC | Maple Leaf Lake CA | Parking Lot Replacement | 2.1 | 600,000 | F | 100,000 | 500,000 |
| FY18 | HB18 | Butler/Stoddard | SE | Otter Slough CA | Well Replacement | 1.1 | 250,000 |  | 100,000 | 150,000 |
| FY18 | HB18 | St. Clair/Vernon | KC | Schell-Osage CA | Golden Anniversary Wetland Renovation Phase II | 1.1 | 8,400,000 | N | 100,000 | 8,300,000 |
| FY18 | HB18 | St. Clair/Vernon | KC | Schell-Osage CA | Golden Anniversary Wetland Renovation Phase II Schell Lake Renovation | 1.2 | 3,600,000 | F | 100,000 | 3,500,000 |
| FY18 | HB18 | Lincoln | SL | Leach (B K) Mem CA | Well Replacement | 1.1 | 250,000 |  | 50,000 | 200,000 |
| FY15 | HB18 | Lewis | NE | Deer Ridge CA | Shooting Range Accessibility Improvement | 2.1 | 50,000 |  | 0 | 50,000 |
| FY15 | HB18 | Taney | SW | Shepherd of the Hills Fish Hatchery | Intermediate Raceways Replacement | 1.2 | 500,000 | F | 0 | 500,000 |
| FY16 | HB18 | Platte | KC | Kendzora (Anthony and Beatrice) CA | Lake Drain Structure Replacement | t 2.1 | 200,000 |  | 0 | 200,000 |
|  |  |  |  | Outside Fundi $\begin{aligned} & C=C o r_{1} \\ & F=F e d \end{aligned}$ | Source:  <br> of Engineers $D N R=$ <br> al Sport Fish Restoration $N=$ Nor | $=$ Department of Na <br> North American Wet | ural Resource <br> and Conservat | on Act (NAW | CA) $O=O t$ | Ducks Unlimited <br> her |

## FY2020 Total Construction Request

|  | House Bill | County | Region | Area Name | Project Name St | Strategic Plan Outcome | Budget | Outside <br> Funding | FY20 <br> Estimate | FY21 and Beyond Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HB18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY16 | HB18 | Laclede | SW | Lebanon Forestry Office | RFFEC Conference Room Installation | GAO | 100,000 |  | 0 | 100,000 |
| FY16 | HB18 | Laclede | SW | Lebanon Forestry Office | RFFEC Parking Lot Improvements | s GAO | 110,000 |  | 0 | 110,000 |
| FY17 | HB18 | Howell | OZ | White Ranch CA | Lake Repair Feasibility Study | 2.1 | 40,000 |  | 0 | 40,000 |
| FY18 | HB18 | Nodaway | NW | Bilby Ranch Lake CA | Parking Lot Renovation | 2.1 | 200,000 | F | 0 | 200,000 |
| FY18 | HB18 | Cole | C | Conservation Commission Hq | Transformer Replacement and Entrance Road Renovation | GAO | 3,500,000 |  | 0 | 3,500,000 |
| FY18 | HB18 | Ray | NW | Ray County CL | Parking Lot Renovation | 2.1 | 200,000 | F | 0 | 200,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  | 19,390,000 |  | 1,340,000 | 18,050,000 |
| Statewide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY20 | HB18 | Statewide |  | Statewide | FY20 Infrastructure Asset Management Program | 2.1 | 8,000,000 | F | 6,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
| FY20 | HB18 | Statewide |  | Statewide | FY20 Regional Maintenance \& Repair | 2.1 | 5,500,000 |  | 5,500,000 | 0 |
| FY20 | HB18 | Statewide |  | Statewide | FY20 County Aid Road Trust (CART) Program | 2.1 | 1,200,000 |  | 1,200,000 | 0 |
| FY20 | HB18 | Statewide |  | Statewide | FY20 Disaster Contingency (e.g., Repair Flood Damage) | GAO | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 | 0 |

[^2]| DNR $=$ Department of Natural Resources | $D U=$ Ducks Unlimited |
| :--- | :--- |
| $N=$ North American Wetland Conservation Act (NAWCA) | $O=$ Other |

## FY2020 Total Construction Request



## FY2020 Total Construction Request

|  | House Bill | County | Region | Area Name | Project Name | Strategic Plan Outcome | Budget | Outside <br> Funding | $F Y 20$ <br> Estimate | FY21 and Beyond Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HB19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY14 | HB19 | Dent | OZ | Montauk Fish Hatchery | Hatchery Renovation Feasibility Study | 1.2 | 20,000 |  | 0 | 20,000 |
| FY14 | HB19 | Bates/Cass | KC | Settle's Ford CA | Northside Levee Setback | 1.1 | 100,000 |  | 0 | 100,000 |
| FY16 | HB19 | Cape Girardeau | SE | Apple Creek CA | Service Roads Improvements | 1.1 | 100,000 |  | 0 | 100,000 |
| FY16 | HB19 | Dallas/Laclede | SW | Bennett Spring Fish Hatchery | Intake Replacement | 1.2 | 4,000,000 | F | 0 | 4,000,000 |
| FY16 | HB19 | Pike | NE | Shanks (Ted) CA | Ring Levee Repair | 1.1 | 150,000 |  | 0 | 150,000 |
| FY16 | HB19 | Taney | SW | Shepherd of the Hills Fish Hatchery | Drum Filter Piping Improvements | - 1.2 | 25,000 |  | 0 | 25,000 |
| FY17 | HB19 | Pemiscot | SE | Black Island CA (Stephen C Bradford Unit) | Road and Parking Improvements | - 2.1 | 225,000 |  | 0 | 225,000 |
| FY17 | HB19 | Pemiscot | SE | Black Island CA (DeSoto Unit) | Road and Parking Improvements | - 2.1 | 25,000 |  | 0 | 25,000 |
| FY17 | HB19 | Jackson | KC | Reed (James A) Mem WA | Regional Office Gate Improvements | GAO | 40,000 |  | 0 | 40,000 |
| FY18 | HB19 | Boone | C | Central Regional Office | Roof Gutters Replacement \& Snow Melt System | GAO | 50,000 |  | 0 | 50,000 |
| FY18 | HB19 | Cedar | KC | El Dorado Springs Office | Building Replacement Feasibility Study | GAO | 20,000 |  | 0 | 20,000 |
| FY18 | HB19 | Dent | OZ | Montauk Fish Hatchery | Fish Cleaning Station Improvements | 2.1 | 10,000 |  | 0 | 10,000 |


| Outside Funding Source: |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\qquad$C $=$ Corp of Engineers DNR = Department of Natural Resources <br> F $=$ Federal Sport Fish Restoration $N=$ North American Wetland Conservation Act $($ NAWCA $)$ | D $=$ Other |  |

## FY2020 Total Construction Request

|  | House Bill | County | Region | Area Name | Project Name St | ategic Plan Outcome | Budget | Outside Funding | FY20 <br> Estimate | FY21 and Beyond Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HB19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  | 12,815,000 |  | 2,750,000 | 10,065,000 |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY14 | HB19 | Bates/Vernon | KC | Four Rivers CA (August A Busch Mem CA Wetlands) | Overflow Outlets | 1.1 | 200,000 |  | 0 | 200,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  | 200,000 |  | 0 | 200,000 |
| Statewide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY20 | HB19 | Statewide |  | Statewide | FY20 Payments In-lieu of Taxes | GAO | 900,000 |  | 900,000 | 0 |
| FY20 | HB19 | Statewide |  | Statewide | FY20 Boundary Surveys | GAO | 450,000 |  | 450,000 | 0 |
| FY20 | HB19 | Statewide |  | Statewide | FY20 Environmental Compliance Consultant (e.g., Capital Improvement Projects) | GAO | 300,000 |  | 300,000 | 0 |
| FY20 | HB19 | Statewide |  | Statewide | FY20 Implementation of Range Assessments | 2.1 | 1,000,000 |  | 200,000 | 800,000 |
| FY20 | HB19 | Statewide |  | Statewide | FY20 Project Specific Construction Hourly Labor (e.g., Capital Improvement Projects) | 2.1 | 165,000 | F | 165,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  | 2,815,000 |  | 2,015,000 | 800,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  | House Bill Grand Total |  | 15,830,000 |  | 4,765,000 | 11,065,000 |
| Construction Request Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83,210,000 |  | 33,975,000 | 36,355,000 |

[^3]| DNR = Department of Natural Resources | $D U=$ Ducks Unlimited |
| :--- | :--- |
| $N=$ North American Wetland Conservation Act (NAWCA) | $O=$ Other |



## Administration FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## What strategic priority does this program address?

Goal 2: MDC connects people with nature

## What does this program do?

This program leads and directs conservation programs and activities to protect and manage fish, forest, and wildlife resources of the state; to facilitate and provide opportunity for citizens to use, enjoy, and learn about these resources. Administration includes the program areas of the Director's Office, Policy Coordination, and Information Technology.

## Director's Office

Serves Missouri citizens and the Commission by directing agency management and providing timely response to legislative information requests. The Director's Office includes the following:

## Federal Aid Unit

Manages approximately 115 Federal Aid grants and cooperative agreements.
Legal, Audit, and Realty Services
Provides essential services for day-to-day operations of the Department with respect to legal matters; audit services to evaluate and improve effectiveness of business practices; and review and recommend real estate activities.

## Policy Coordination

Serves the Director's Office and Department staff in managing Department-wide environmental policy development and interagency coordination.

## Provide an activity measure(s) for the program.

Every Missouri citizen benefits from healthy, sustainable, and well-managed fish, forest, and wildlife resources. The Department of Conservation maintains a widespread presence throughout the state that serves each Missourian in many ways. Every Missouri citizen can visit one of over 1,000 conservation areas and accesses and may request a free subscription to the Department's monthly magazine. Every Missouri landowner can request resource assistance on their property. In addition to conservation areas and accesses, venues include nature centers, school programs, public seminars, teacher workshops, state and county fairs, hunter education classes, private landowner assistance, partnerships with rural fire departments, county partnerships, and community partnerships. Missourians also benefit from weekly newspaper articles, radio and television programs, free pamphlets, books, publications, and information delivered by Web pages and interactive media.

## Administration

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's quality.

In a survey conducted in FY14, over 68 percent of respondents said the Conservation Department was doing a good or excellent job of providing services for the state of Missouri; 76 percent of adult Missourians agreed that the Missouri Department of Conservation is a name they can trust; and 95 percent of adult Missourians are interested in Missouri's fish,forest, and wildlife.

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact.

Acres managed for fish, forest, and wildlife conservation purposes, including acres owned, and acres managed under a lease or license with other agencies and organizations (e.g. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The Nature Conservancy, etc.), and acres managed by cooperative agreement with communities.


Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact. (continued)


FY16-806,719 acres owned and 205,621 acres leased, licensed, and management agreement lands.

FY17-808,946 acres owned and 204,361 acres of leased, licensed, and management agreement lands.

FY18-809,241 acres owned and 204,361 acres leased, licensed, and management agreement lands.

Examples include Sport Fish Restoration grants, Wildlife Restoration grants, Forest Service grants, Natural Resource Conservation Service Assistance grants, and Volunteer Fire Assistance grants.

## Administration

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's efficiency.



Provide a measure(s) of the program's efficiency. (continued)
An annual estimate of business activity generated in the state of Missouri by fish and wildlife recreation expenditures is $\$ 4.7$ billion. An annual estimate of business activity generated from the forest and forest products industry is $\$ 8$ billion. Annually, fish and wildlife recreation generates $\$ 429$ million in state and local sales taxes and forest products generate $\$ 78$ million in state sales tax revenue. The number of Missouri jobs supported by fish,forestry, and wildlife activities is 99,448 .


Examples include Sport Fish Restoration grants, Wildlife Restoration grants, Forest Service grants, Natural Resource Conservation Service Assistance grants, and Volunteer Fire Assistance grants.

|  |  |  | formation $T$ scal Year | echnology omparison |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fiscal Year 201 | 18 Actual | Fiscal Yea Original B | $\frac{\text { r } 2019}{\text { udget }}$ | Fiscal Year 202 | 0 Budget | FY2019 to F | Change |
|  |  | Calculated |  | \# of Salaried |  | \# of Salaried |  |  |
|  | Amount | FTE | Amount | Positions | Amount | Positions | Amount | Percent |
| Information Technology Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | \$2,747,047 | 48 | \$3,036,009 | 53 | \$3,073,531 | 53 | \$37,522 | 1.2\% |
| Hourly Labor | \$97,014 | 0 | \$160,600 | 0 | \$164,133 | 0 | \$3,533 | 2.2\% |
| Expense | \$6,155,189 | 0 | \$6,572,800 | 0 | \$6,811,200 | 0 | \$238,400 | 3.6\% |
| Equipment | \$1,245,497 | 0 | \$1,433,300 | 0 | \$1,496,300 | 0 | \$63,000 | 4.4\% |
| Total | \$10,244,747 | 48 | \$11,202,709 | 53 | \$11,545,164 | 53 | \$342,455 | 3.1\% |
| Information Technology New Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expense | \$2,619,817 | 0 | \$2,592,000 | 0 | \$1,552,800 | 0 | (\$1,039,200) | -40.1\% |
| Equipment | \$401,372 | 0 | \$446,500 | 0 | \$558,000 | 0 | \$111,500 | 25.0\% |
| Total | \$3,021,189 | 0 | \$3,038,500 | 0 | \$2,110,800 | 0 | $(\$ 927,700)$ | -30.5\% |
| Information Technology Research and | Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expense | \$265,852 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| Equipment | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | N/A |
| Total | \$265,852 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | \$2,747,047 | 48 | \$3,036,009 | 53 | \$3,073,531 | 53 | \$37,522 | 1\% |
| Hourly Labor | \$97,014 | 0 | \$160,600 | 0 | \$164,133 | 0 | \$3,533 | 2.2\% |
| Expense | \$9,040,858 | 0 | \$9,164,800 | 0 | \$8,364,000 | 0 | $(\$ 800,800)$ | -8.7\% |
| Equipment | \$1,646,869 | 0 | \$1,879,800 | 0 | \$2,054,300 | 0 | \$174,500 | 9.3\% |
| Total | \$13,531,788 | 48 | \$14,241,209 | 53 | \$13,655,964 | 53 | $(\$ 585,245)$ | -4.1\% |

## Information Technology <br> FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## What strategic priority does this program address?

Goal 2: MDC connects peiople with nature

## What does this program do?

Information Technology Unit provides strategic direction for the Department's information technology assets, which includes all computer hardware and software systems, telephone systems, two-way radio and other telecommunications systems, and the coordination of those systems with other state agencies.

Provide a measure(s) of the program's efficiency.


NOTE: Increase in FY16 attributed to Google Analytics double-counting users going between old and new website
*This is a new measure and projections were not made for FY16 and FY17.

*FY20 and FY21 assumes implementation of Atlas Mobile App

FY20 Information Technology Project List

| Project Name | Budget | Outcome |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Digital Repeater Replacements | $\$ 260,000$ | 3.1 |
| Elk Permits/Draw | $\$ 25,000$ | 3.1 |
| Confined Wildlife Movement App | $\$ 100,000$ | 3.1 |
| Fastdash - External Dashboard (Multiple Phases) | $\$ 183,300$ | 3.1 |
| Internal Dashboards (Multiple Phases) | $\$ 150,000$ | 3.1 |
| Customer Facing Portal \& Prioritized Digital Services Discovery | $\$ 60,000$ | 3.1 |
| Carcass Movement Regulation Telecheck Update | $\$ 35,500$ | 3.1 |
| Online Licensed Shooting Area Permits | $\$ 18,000$ | 3.1 |
| Customer/Citizen Relationship Management (CRM) Discovery | $\$ 100,000$ | 3.1 |
| DSP Governance Creation | $\$ 50,000$ | 3.1 |
| Audio Visual Replacements | $\$ 408,000$ | 3.1 |
| Construction Project Tracking System | $\$ 100,000$ | 3.1 |
| Intranet Redesign - Implementation | $\$ 40,000$ | 3.1 |
| Data Warehouse Discovery | $\$ 60,000$ | 3.1 |
| Data Warehouse Base Implementation (Phase I) | $\$ 150,000$ | 3.1 |
| MO Hunting/Telecheck Notching Removal | $\$ 10,000$ | 3.1 |
| Retail Management Replacement | $\$ 250,000$ | 3.1 |
| Landowner Registry | $\$ 42,000$ | 3.1 |
| Raptor Rewrite | $\$ 100,000$ | 3.1 |
| Captial Improvement Software | $\$ 100,000$ | 3.1 |
| Wildlife Health Database | $\$ 100,000$ | 3.1 |
| Application Portfolio Refresh | $\$ 75,000$ | 3.1 |
| CWD Front End | $\$ 50,000$ | 3.1 |
|  |  |  |
| Total FY20 Information Technology Projects | $\$ 2,466,800$ |  |

## Administrative Services

 Fiscal Year Comparison| Original Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Salaried |
| Amount | Positions |
| \$161,536 | 3 |
| \$0 | 0 |
| \$41,000 | 0 |
| \$0 | 0 |
| \$202,536 | 3 |
| \$690,734 | 16 |
| \$24,531 | 0 |
| \$131,250 | 0 |
| \$1,500 | 0 |
| \$848,015 | 16 |
| \$18,728,358 | 0 |
| \$937,000 | 0 |
| \$19,665,358 | 0 |
| \$1,674,629 | 42 |
| \$97,224 | 0 |
| \$4,955,500 | 0 |
| \$53,100 | 0 |
| \$6,780,453 | 42 |
| \$260,356 | 4 |
| \$13,000 | 0 |
| \$646,250 | 0 |
| \$0 | 0 |
| \$919,606 | 4 |
| \$0 | 0 |
| \$6,714,633 | 0 |
| \$6,714,633 | 0 |
| \$4,000,000 | 0 |
| \$4,000,000 | 0 |

Fiscal Year 2020 Budget

| \# of Salaried |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | Positions | Amount | Percent |
| \$158,573 | 3 | $(\$ 2,963)$ | -1.8\% |
| \$28,175 | 0 | \$28,175 | N/A |
| \$14,000 | 0 | $(\$ 27,000)$ | -65.9\% |
| \$0 | 0 | \$0 | N/A |
| \$200,748 | 3 | $(\$ 1,788)$ | -0.9\% |
| \$710,350 | 16 | \$19,616 | 2.8\% |
| \$24,531 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| \$135,050 | 0 | \$3,800 | 2.9\% |
| \$1,500 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| \$871,431 | 16 | \$23,416 | 2.8\% |
| \$20,413,174 | 0 | \$1,684,816 | 9.0\% |
| \$937,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| \$21,350,174 | 0 | \$1,684,816 | 8.6\% |
| \$1,681,983 | 42 | \$7,354 | 0.4\% |
| \$97,224 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| \$4,898,031 | 0 | $(\$ 57,469)$ | -1.2\% |
| \$56,500 | 0 | \$3,400 | 6.4\% |
| \$6,733,738 | 42 | $(\$ 46,715)$ | -0.7\% |
| \$265,442 | 4 | \$5,086 | 2.0\% |
| \$13,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| \$244,250 | 0 | (\$402,000) | -62.2\% |
| \$90,000 | 0 | \$0 | N/A |
| \$522,692 | 4 | $(\$ 396,914)$ | -43.2\% |
| \$0 | 0 | \$0 | N/A |
| \$7,158,287 | 0 | \$443,654 | 6.6\% |
| \$7,158,287 | 0 | \$443,654 | 6.6\% |
| \$3,900,000 | 0 | $(\$ 100,000)$ | -2.5\% |
| \$3,900,000 | 0 | $(\$ 100,000)$ | -2.5\% |

Administrative Services
Fiscal Year Comparison

|  | Fiscal Year 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calculated |  | \# of Salaried |  | \# of Salaried |  |  |
|  | Amount | FTE | Amount | Positions | Amount | Positions | Amount | Percent |
| Permit Unit \& Point of Sale System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | \$263,499 | 7 | \$271,112 | 7 | \$269,004 | 7 | $(\$ 2,108)$ | -0.8\% |
| Hourly Labor | \$25,273 | 0 | \$41,641 | 0 | \$39,656 | 0 | $(\$ 1,985)$ | -4.8\% |
| Expense | \$2,392,453 | 0 | \$2,361,250 | 0 | \$2,307,250 | 0 | $(\$ 54,000)$ | -2.3\% |
| Equipment | \$7,707 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | N/A |
| Total | \$2,688,932 | 7 | \$2,674,003 | 7 | \$2,615,910 | 7 | $(\$ 58,093)$ | -2.2\% |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | \$2,894,701 | 68 | \$3,058,367 | 72 | \$3,085,352 | 72 | \$26,985 | 0.9\% |
| Fringe Benefits | \$18,261,219 | 0 | \$18,728,358 | 0 | \$20,413,174 | 0 | \$1,684,816 | 9.0\% |
| Hourly Labor | \$132,669 | 0 | \$176,396 | 0 | \$202,586 | 0 | \$26,190 | 14.8\% |
| Expense | \$12,269,432 | 0 | \$13,072,250 | 0 | \$12,435,581 | 0 | $(\$ 636,669)$ | -4.9\% |
| Equipment | \$5,463,036 | 0 | \$6,769,233 | 0 | \$7,306,287 | 0 | \$537,054 | 7.9\% |
| Total | \$39,021,057 | 68 | \$41,804,604 | 72 | \$43,442,980 | 72 | \$1,638,376 | 3.9\% |

## Administrative Services <br> FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## What strategic priority does this program address?

Goal 3: MDC maintains public trust

## What does this program do?

This division coordinates the business activities of the Conservation Department including hunting and fishing permits point of sale system, Financial Services, Purchasing, Fleet Management, Print Shop, Distribution Center, Sign Shop, Aviation Services, and regional office expenditures. The Administrative Services Division includes the program areas of Administrative Services Administration, General Services, Permits, and Point of Sale System.

## Administrative Services Administration

Administrative Services Administration serves Department staff by providing agency-wide support and expertise in a manner that ensures financial accountability; promotes wise use of assets; and provides ancillary services for satisfying Department responsibilities and meeting public expectations. Administrative Services Administration coordinates the day to day activities of Administrative Services including Financial Services (including Budget, Payables, Permit Services and Purchasing) and General Services (including Fleet and Aviation Services).

The Financial Services Unit administers all financial activities of the department. It maintains liaisons with the State Treasurer, State Auditor, Office of Administration, and Department of Revenue in the performance of these activities. It is responsible for revenue collection, accounts payable, accounting, budget, and payroll. Revenue from the conservation sales tax, hunting and fishing permits, federal reimbursement, public use areas, sale of timber publications, and surplus property is received and deposited in the state treasury for department programs. Purchasing Services provides guidance to Department staff on issuing competitive solicitations in accordance with state laws, regulations, and policy. It maintains liaison with the Office of Administration on solicitations that exceed the Department's purchasing authority.

## General Services

General Services provides Department staff with agency-wide support and expertise in a manner that promotes wise use of assets and provides ancillary services. General Services provides support services that are responsible for inventory control; operations of the Department's fleet; repair and disposition of vehicles, aircraft, marine, and other mechanical equipment; operation of a distribution center and warehouse for publications, products, and media loan services; operation of quick printing, mailing, and sign production. General Services replaces vehicles, aviation, heavy equipment, marine equipment, trailers, and ATVs/UTVs in a cyclical manner based on approved replacement criteria. Fuel is funded through General Services to centralize the payment of all fuel used in the functioning of agency vehicles, aviation, heavy equipment, small equipment, and area operations.

Aviation Services transports personnel for fish and wildlife surveys, forest fire detection and suppression activities, law enforcement patrols (day and night), photography, radio telemetry flights for fish and wildlife, and low altitude wildlife surveys.

## Permits Unit and Point of Sale (POS) System

The Permits Unit and Point of Sale (POS) System serves customers and provides support services that are responsible for the distribution of hunting and fishing permits statewide to retail businesses for sale to the public and the collection of permit revenue. Permit Services will continue to work with staff, as well as with permit vendors and customers, to fine tune our approach to e-permits so that this multi-stage program delivers results consistent with customer expectations

## Administrative Services <br> FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide an activity measure(s) for the program.

Hunting License Holders (holders is defined as "individuals", includes free resident landowner permits).


Sport Fish License Holders (holders is defined as "individuals").

Low permit cost is one more reason Missouri is a great place to hunt and fish. For comparison, Missouri residents pay $\$ 12$ for an annual fishing permit, while residents in the eight neighboring states pay an average of $\$ 22.14$ for the same privileges. Missouri's $\$ 17$ Resident Any-Deer Permit is a bargain compared to the average of $\$ 59.13$ for equivalent privileges in surrounding states.

Last year, the Department provided over 837,000 free landowner deer or turkey hunting permits.

Using the most recent U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service data (2013 calculation year), Missouri ranks 10th in paid hunting license holders among all states (TX, PA, MI, WI, NY, MN, TN, AL, NC, MO). Compared to the eight states that border Missouri, only Tennessee has more paid hunting license holders than Missouri.

Also using the same source, Missouri ranked 10th in paid fishing license holders among all states (TX, CA, MN, WI, FL, NC, MI, PA, NY, MO). Compared to the eight states that border Missouri, no other state has more paid fishing license holders than Missouri.

## Administrative Services <br> FY 2020 Budget Narrative

Provide a measure(s) of the program's quality.


Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact.


Electronic Sales (Internet/Mobile purchases) grew 55\% from PY16 to PY17 while Traditional Sales (Vendor/Phone purchases) declined 23\% illustrating the trend of consumers to use electronic methods for purchases.

## Administrative Services

 FY 2020 Budget Narrative
## Provide a measure(s) of the program's efficiency.



* This is a new measure and projections were not made for FY16, FY17, and FY18


Rebate on Purchasing Card (1.44\% though FY16; variable \% FY17 forward)

## Design and Development <br> Fiscal Year Comparison



## Design and Development <br> FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## What strategic priority does this program address?

Goal 2: MDC connects people with nature

## What does this program do?

Design and Development coordinates infrastructure activities for the Missouri Department of Conservation including engineering, architecture, surveying, construction, facilities maintenance, and statewide infrastructure management. The Division is comprised of the following areas: Design and Development Administration, Surveys and Quality Control, and Construction and Maintenance.

## Design and Development Administration

Design and Development Administration designs, constructs and maintains the Department's infrastructure. Staff are also responsible for administering the County Aid Road Trust program to help local partners maintain the public roads leading to Department areas.

## Surveys and Quality Control

Surveys and Quality Control surveys are an integral role in Department efforts to manage lands held in public trust and develop, maintain, and manage infrastructure by providing boundary and engineering survey expertise and services. Quality Control provides construction oversight to ensure conformance with construction contract documents and reduces exposure to risk related to construction defects and omissions.

## Construction and Maintenance

Construction and Maintenance staff in each region consists of superintendents, carpenters, and equipment operators responsible for the repair and maintenance of existing infrastructure. The facility maintenance staff at the nature centers, visitor centers, regional offices, and central office are responsible for facility, custodial, and facilities maintenance services at each of these major facilities.

## Design and Development FY 2020 Budget Narrative

Provide an activity measure(s) for the program.

*Participation by counties is voluntary. The economy and weather conditions impact county participation.
** Funding for Capital Improvements (HB19) for FY18 was not appropriated. Funding for CART was increased to $\$ 2$ million for FY19.

Provide a measure(s) of the program's quality.


Energy Usage - The goal is to reduce the weather normalized site energy usage intensity by $2 \%$ per year for the portfolio of buildings that are tracked. The calendar year 2015 baseline energy use is 60.6 kBTU/ft ${ }^{2}$.

## Design and Development FY 2020 Budget Narrative

Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact.


Budget Performance - The goal is to have actual project costs not exceed the original contract amount by more than $3 \%$ which is less than the industry average of $5 \%$. The $3 \%$ allows for unforeseen conditions and other changes necessary to complete the project. This measure compares the final construction cost with the original amount of all projects that were completed during the fiscal year. This measure demonstrates the final average cost of the construction projects regardless of when they were awarded. A fiscal year performance measure below the target amount of $103 \%$ exceeds the goal.

Provide a measure(s) of the program's efficiency.


On-time Performance - The goal is to meet the project timeframe specified in the construction contract at least $80 \%$ of the time. ( $20 \%$ allows for extreme weather, unexpected site conditions, disasters, and unforeseen circumstances during the construction project.) This measures the percentage of construction projects that were completed within the original project time frame specified and any agreed upon extensions.

Fisheries
Fiscal Year Comparison

| Original Budget |  | Fiscal Year 2020 Budget |  | FY2019 to FY2020 Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Salaried |  | \# of Salaried |  |  |
| Amount | Positions | Amount | Positions | Amount | Percent |
| \$705,606 | 13 | \$726,929 | 13 | \$21,323 | 3.0\% |
| \$192,751 | 0 | \$212,245 | 0 | \$19,494 | 10.1\% |
| \$1,019,981 | 0 | \$1,085,388 | 0 | \$65,407 | 6.4\% |
| \$98,872 | 0 | \$132,561 | 0 | \$33,689 | 34.1\% |
| \$2,017,210 | 13 | \$2,157,123 | 13 | \$139,913 | 6.9\% |
| \$1,257,868 | 37 | \$1,287,740 | 37 | \$29,872 | 2.4\% |
| \$116,662 | 0 | \$121,430 | 0 | \$4,768 | 4.1\% |
| \$1,384,270 | 0 | \$1,344,243 | 0 | (\$40,027) | -2.9\% |
| \$103,743 | 0 | \$33,750 | 0 | $(\$ 69,993)$ | -67.5\% |
| \$2,862,543 | 37 | \$2,787,163 | 37 | (\$75,380) | -2.6\% |
| \$1,018,609 | 28 | \$1,059,246 | 28 | \$40,637 | 4.0\% |
| \$77,440 | 0 | \$83,340 | 0 | \$5,900 | 7.6\% |
| \$674,943 | 0 | \$640,461 | 0 | $(\$ 34,482)$ | -5.1\% |
| \$19,655 | 0 | \$19,216 | 0 | (\$439) | -2.2\% |
| \$1,790,647 | 28 | \$1,802,263 | 28 | \$11,616 | 0.6\% |
| \$539,361 | 11 | \$560,285 | 11 | \$20,924 | 3.9\% |
| \$89,250 | 0 | \$89,250 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| \$349,232 | 0 | \$324,436 | 0 | (\$24,796) | -7.1\% |
| \$0 | 0 | \$350 | 0 | \$350 | N/A |
| \$977,843 | 11 | \$974,321 | 11 | $(\$ 3,522)$ | -0.4\% |
| \$3,164,064 | 68 | \$3,258,151 | 68 | \$94,087 | 3.0\% |
| \$228,183 | 0 | \$213,515 | 0 | (\$14,668) | -6.4\% |
| \$494,105 | 0 | \$436,510 | 0 | $(\$ 57,595)$ | -11.7\% |
| \$35,592 | 0 | \$20,850 | 0 | (\$14,742) | -41.4\% |
| \$3,921,944 | 68 | \$3,929,026 | 68 | \$7,082 | 0.2\% |
| \$6,685,508 | 157 | \$6,892,351 | 157 | \$206,843 | 3.1\% |
| \$719,780 | 0 | \$719,780 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| \$3,922,531 | 0 | \$3,831,038 | 0 | $(\$ 91,493)$ | -2.3\% |
| \$257,862 | 0 | \$206,727 | 0 | $(\$ 51,135)$ | -19.8\% |
| 11,585,681 | 157 | \$11,649,896 | 157 | \$64,215 | 0.6\% |

## Fisheries

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## What strategic priority does this program address?

Goal 1: MDC takes care of nature

## What does this program do?

In Missouri, fishing recreation contributes $\$ 1.2$ billion of economic impact to the Missouri economy; supports over 15,000 jobs; and generates over $\$ 110$ million in state and local sales taxes (The 2011 Economic Impacts of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife Viewing in Missouri prepared by Environ, 2014).

Fisheries Division protects and manages our aquatic resources through the program areas of Fisheries Administration, Stream Programs, Fish Hatcheries and Fisheries Regional.

## Fisheries Administration

Directs and administers Division programs; manages Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration grants; works with federal, state, and local government entities on cooperative development and management of public fishing and boating access areas; coordinates angler recruitment and angler recognition programs; coordinates technical and popular information materials; and provides administrative assistance to Division and Department staff.

## Stream Programs

Staff provide technical support to internal and external partners on various programs in order to enhance the understanding, management, and protection of streams and their watersheds on a statewide basis.

## Fish Hatcheries

Operation of four warm water and five cold water hatcheries for the rearing of fish needed to stock public waters; waters used for special fishing events, aquatic resource education and trout parks. Hatchery staff also culture selected species of conservation concern (e.g. hellbenders, Topeka shiners, pallid sturgeon, and mussels) and manage associated public access sites.

## Fisheries Regional

Offer technical guidance for stream and lake management to private landowners and local, state, and federal governmental agencies; educate and inform the public about aquatic resources through technical and popular written materials, electronic media, presentations to groups, workshops, interviews to journalists, and personal contacts to protect and manage aquatic biodiversity; provide quality fishing opportunities and offer excellent public service to constituents statewide.

## Fisheries

## FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide an activity measure(s) for the program.

The 2011 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation estimated that there were about 1.1 million anglers in Missouri. These constituents, along with an estimated 6 million Missouri residents, all benefit from management of fish and aquatic resources provided by Fisheries Division programs. The number of people engaged or participating in fisheries or aquatic-related workshops, presentations, demonstrations, classes, or tours was 77,900 (FY18). The number of stream team participants in FY18 was 27,875.



In FY18, Stream Team volunteers provided over 112,000 hours of service by removing more than 370 tons of trash, planting 2,500 trees, and conducting 910 water quality monitoring trips.

## Fisheries

FY 2020 Budget Narrative
Provide an activity measure(s) for the program. (continued)


MDC's MO Fishing is a free app that lets anglers:

- Buy, review, save, and show fishing permits.
- Find nearby lakes, rivers and streams.
- Easily see features of bodies of water, boat ramps, parking lots, and restrooms.
- Use fish-attractor data to find the best fishing spots.
- $\quad$ See fishing seasons and regulations.
- Read annual fishing prospects and weekly fishing reports.
- Use a handy Fish Guide to help identify catches.
* Projections in FY19 forward assumes app downloads will decrease from the successful launch of MO Fishing in July 2016.


## Provide a measure(s) of the program's quality.

One measure of customer satisfaction is the number of fishing permits sold. In 2017, the Department of Conservation sold approximately 900 ,000 resident and non-resident fishing permits and tags of all types (including all daily fishing permits, daily trout tags, and all sales of fishing permits). Many other Missourians who are not required to purchase a fishing permit also fish, including those under 16 or over 65 years of age, resident landowners on property they own, and other exempt groups.

Using the most recent U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service data (2016 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation), 103.7 million U.S. residents 16 years old and older or 41 percent of the population, participated in wildlife-related recreational activities. The national participation rate for fishing was 35.8 million anglers or 34 percent of the US population 16 years old and older.

## Fisheries

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact.




The Department manages 332 Stream or Lake Accesses. Of which, 122 are managed in cooperation with communities, county governments, and other agencies through the Community Assistance Program to provide close to home public fishing access.



Missouri offers world-class trout fishing at four trout parks, 120 miles of spring fed streams, Lake Taneycomo, and 35 winter trout lakes.

## Fisheries

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact. (continued)


Provide a measure(s) of the program's efficiency.
One measure of efficiency is the number of activities on private land that occur from private landowner contacts. These activities include fish samples, fish kill investigations, letters, plans, conservation easement negations, and demonstration area developments and maintenance.


Forestry
Fiscal Year Comparison

| Original Budget |  | Fiscal Year 2020 Budget |  | FY2019 to FY2020 Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Salaried |  | \# of Salaried |  |  |
| Amount | Positions | Amount | Positions | Amount | Percent |
| \$851,305 | 19 | \$876,219 | 19 | \$24,914 | 2.9\% |
| \$412,165 | 0 | \$432,396 | 0 | \$20,231 | 4.9\% |
| \$827,967 | 0 | \$706,808 | 0 | $(\$ 121,159)$ | -14.6\% |
| \$36,442 | 0 | \$6,000 | 0 | $(\$ 30,442)$ | -83.5\% |
| \$2,127,879 | 19 | \$2,021,423 | 19 | $(\$ 106,456)$ | -5.0\% |
| \$700,325 | 14 | \$716,340 | 14 | \$16,015 | 2.3\% |
| \$98,364 | 0 | \$98,364 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| \$1,564,806 | 0 | \$1,856,073 | 0 | \$291,267 | 18.6\% |
| \$10,500 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | $(\$ 10,500)$ | -100.0\% |
| \$2,373,995 | 14 | \$2,670,777 | 14 | \$296,782 | 12.5\% |
| \$6,763,969 | 184 | \$6,946,589 | 185 | \$182,620 | 2.7\% |
| \$409,050 | 0 | \$417,751 | 0 | \$8,701 | 2.1\% |
| \$2,319,105 | 0 | \$2,154,974 | 0 | $(\$ 164,131)$ | -7.1\% |
| \$174,936 | 0 | \$85,426 | 0 | $(\$ 89,510)$ | -51.2\% |
| \$9,667,060 | 184 | \$9,604,740 | 185 | $(\$ 62,320)$ | -0.6\% |
| \$8,315,599 | 217 | \$8,539,148 | 218 | \$223,549 | 2.7\% |
| \$919,579 | 0 | \$948,511 | 0 | \$28,932 | 3.1\% |
| \$4,711,878 | 0 | \$4,717,855 | 0 | \$5,977 | 0.1\% |
| \$221,878 | 0 | \$91,426 | 0 | $(\$ 130,452)$ | -58.8\% |
| \$14,168,934 | 217 | \$14,296,940 | 218 | \$128,006 | 0.9\% |

## Forestry

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## What strategic priority does this program address?

Goal 1: MDC takes care of nature

## What does this program do?

Forestry Division ensures the health and sustainability of Missouri's urban and rural forest resource and addresses what people need from that resource.

## Forestry Administration and Nursery:

## Forestry Administration

Provides guidance to field operations on department fish, forest, and wildlife priorities on both public and private forests and associated lands, and ensure staff have the tools and resources to be effective.

## George O White State Forest Nursery

The George O. White State Forest Nursery allows the Department to provide quality seedling trees and shrubs to Missourians for planting. Most of these trees and shrubs are planted on private property, helping to increase forest canopy cover and wildlife habitat across the state. In addition, a portion of the trees are used internally to reforest conservation areas. Trees and shrubs available from the state nursery are primarily grown from local seed sources, helping to assure that the resulting plants are adapted to Missouri growing conditions and have the best chances of survival. Nearly three million seedlings are produced and sold annually that are used for riparian protection, wildlife habitat improvement, and other reforestation opportunities. Seedlings are also provided to every $4^{\text {th }}$ grade student throughout the state for Arbor Day and to numerous youth groups upon request for tree planting projects.

## Forestry State Wide Programs:

## Public Land Program

Manages over 453,000 acres of public lands to promote long-term forest sustainability, healthy natural communities, enhance benefits to Missouri's economy, promote healthy watersheds, and encourages citizen participation in forest recreation. Thoughtful management of the land under our care will result in functioning and sustainable forests that support healthy and resilient natural communities. Active management will allow us to reduce the impact from nonnative species, promote healthy watersheds that sustain fully functioning streams, enhance benefits to the Missouri economy from responsible harvest of forest products, and encourage Missourians to participate in forest recreation. The State Land Program Supervisor will ensure that state land is meeting sustainability and agency guidelines. Maintaining the state land inventory schedule, continuous forest inventory plots, site reviews, and training of staff will ensure successful implementation of the Sustainable Forestry Initiative and that management is creating healthy diverse forests for wildlife habitat, wood products, recreation opportunities, and clean water. The State Land Program Supervisor will provide continued coordination within the Department and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service regarding state land management activities and endangered species.

## Forestry

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Outreach and Communications

Increases citizen understanding about the state's forest resource and proper forest management activities. The Outreach and Communications Program coordinates the development and implementation of outreach and communication activities, campaigns, and strategies that promote the benefits of healthy trees and forests. Through the Trees Work campaign, Missourians will gain a better understanding of the need for sustainable forest management to enhance wildlife habitat and forest health, provide clean and abundant water, support local economies, and provide outdoor recreation opportunities. Directed outreach on programs intended to engage urban residents in conservation activities are emphasized. Partner organizations such as the Missouri Forestkeepers Network and the Forest and Woodland Association of Missouri provide opportunities to engage the public in tree health monitoring activities and the Missouri Tree Farm Program respectively.

## Community Forestry Program

Promotes sustainable management of community tree resources by providing technical and financial assistance and educational programs. The intent is to move Missouri communities toward sustainable management of their tree resources. We want Missourians applying conservation principles on their property, in their neighborhoods, and in their communities. We also want communities and developers using conservation principles in the design of projects. The Community Forestry program provides state-wide coordination to promote the social benefits, economic value, and management needs of community trees. Increased emphasis is placed in key urban areas including St. Louis, Kansas City, Columbia, and Springfield. All Missouri communities can access technical assistance, tree planting plan services, and grant opportunities for tree planting and care through this program. The program will continue to provide Tree Resource Improvement and Maintenance grants to communities in Missouri. Community Foresters will coordinate with all divisions for consistent delivery of services to citizens, elected officials, and tree care industry professionals. Their combined efforts will ensure key messaging and services reach city planners and concerned citizens. Forestry will continue coordination with the Missouri Community Forestry Council to interact with local government, state and private professionals practicing community forestry. Work with this group and others, such as Forest ReLeaf of Missouri and Beyond Housing, will help improve, protect, and expand the state's community forests.

## Fire Program

Maintains statewide wildfire suppression efforts through training, education, firefighting, and the support of rural fire departments. Wildfire continues to be a serious threat to the health of Missouri's forests and woodlands. The Fire Program maintains statewide wildfire suppression efforts through training, education/prevention, firefighting, and support to rural fire departments. The use of prescribed fire is monitored to ensure healthy forest and woodland natural communities and to assist in fuel reduction to reduce the likelihood and severity of wildfires. Fire prevention outreach is used to teach the public about negative impacts of wildfire. Wildfire control is critical for healthy forests and the protection of lives and property. The Fire program will continue to coordinate training and distribution of equipment to rural fire departments for wildfire control. Continued support of the efforts of the Rural Forest Fire Equipment Center allows for the implementation of the Federal Fire Fighter Property and Federal Excess Personal Property programs in Missouri. These programs provide essential firefighting equipment to volunteer fire departments across the state. Cost share assistance will be provided through the state Volunteer Fire Assistance matching grant program and will allow rural fire departments to build suppression capacity. Support of these two programs has allowed the Agency to reduce our time spent on detection and initial attack of wildfires.

## Forestry

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Forest Products Program

Improves forest health and water quality while sustainably maintaining Missouri's forest products industry which contributes $\$ 9.7$ billion of economic impact to the Missouri economy and supports 44,200 jobs. Management of Missouri's private forests is often completed without the input of a professional forester. We believe that in working with loggers on improved logging practices, through training and recognition of good work, we can challenge the industry to continually improve. If the loggers can improve their treatment of the state's forested natural resources, then a healthy and sustainably managed forest can result. The Forest Products program strives to train loggers in areas of best management practices, safety and basic forest management. Along with our vision to use trained loggers on all state land sales, the utilization of trained loggers on all private land sales is also desired. Department staff emphasizes the use of trained loggers when providing timber sale assistance to landowners and promote their use to consulting foresters on sales they manage. Improving forest health and maintaining quality water are two critical aspects of logger training. These two elements are emphasized during training to help loggers improve their care of these resources. The Department will continue to partner with the Missouri Forest Products Association's Loggers Council to deliver the Professional Timber Harvester (PTH) training program and the Missouri Master Logger Certification program. The Missouri Master Logger Certification program is a performance based program designed to recognize loggers who meet rigid standards for professional work. Greater effort will be invested to publicize the Missouri Master Logger Certification program and encourage greater use of loggers which have earned this designation.

## Private Land Program

Encourages private landowners to actively manage their land for multiple uses by providing technical and financial assistance, on-the-farm contacts, educational workshops, development of forest management plans, and delivery of federal cost share assistance to Missouri landowners. Private land assistance is designed to encourage private landowners to actively manage their land for multiple uses consistent with landowner goals and the Department's mission. Thoughtful management of land will result in functioning and sustainable forests that support healthy natural communities. Using the priority geographies, we will help landowners work together to achieve conservation success on a larger scale. Our assistance will help Missouri landowners to effectively use state, federal, and private conservation assistance programs and technical support. Forestry staff are dedicated to private landowner outreach and forestry assistance. Forestry will continue to provide leadership in implementing work in priority forest landscapes. This emphasis will ensure that management is focused in areas of greatest need and benefit. Staff will work collaboratively with other divisions to provide a unified approach to reaching landowners in these special places. Support will be provided to advance several initiatives which target Missouri's forest landowners. Initiatives include the Forest and Woodland Association of Missouri, Call Before You Cut, and implementation of American Tree Farm® standards for enrolled Missouri Tree Farms. Forestry will work with Private Land Services staff and others to lead the department in the implementation of the new Missouri Managed Woods program.

## Forestry

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Forest Health Program

Monitors and addresses forest health issues and concerns that threaten Missouri's forest and woodland communities. Missouri forests are under constant threat from native and exotic insect and diseases. The Forest Health staff provide training raising awareness of these issues. Program staff work with field staff, the public, and partners to diagnose and provide management recommendations for insect and disease questions. They work statewide to document, evaluate, and gain a better understanding of the issues facing Missouri's trees and forests. Forest Health staff maintain a diagnostic lab for forest pest identification. Staff continue to work cooperatively with the University of Missouri to expand Missouri's lab capabilities to address diagnostic needs for thousand cankers disease (TCD) of black walnut, emerald ash borer (EAB), and other emerging forest health/pest issues. Coordination with the Missouri Department of Agriculture, the United States Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service - Plant Protection and Quarantine, and other agencies on pest surveys and other activities will continue to address EAB, the threat of TCD of black walnut and other invasive pests. Forest Health staff have the lead responsibility coordinating outreach efforts about invasive forest pests to raise awareness, reduce risk of spread, and increase preparedness among communities and landowners. Brochures and other publications are updated regularly. Field events are scheduled at popular woodworking tradeshows and partners are engaged to have a coordinated effort to address invasive forest pests.

## Regional Management

Completes the majority of the on the ground accomplishments in implementing goals. Regional staff deliver all of the major programs listed above (State Land, Outreach and Communication, Community Forestry, Fire, Forest Products, Forest Health, and Private Land/Planning) in accordance with their assigned area of responsibility. Forestry staff will strive for excellence in maintaining healthy forests on public lands and privately owned forests. Implementation of sound practices on state forests based on inventory data will enhance forest health, increase age structure diversity, improve water quality, and provide habitat for wildlife and outdoor recreational opportunities. Public support during area management will be maintained through direct contact with neighbors to MDC areas. Public lands will be monitored for forest health issues and maintained for public use. Staff will annually monitor, through general reconnaissance, all management compartments for significant forest health issues that may emerge in between scheduled inventories and recommend corrective action when needed. Areas will be maintained to proper levels as outlined in policy to invite public use and provide opportunities for outdoor recreational opportunities. Staff will work with landowners and the public through on-site visits, field days, workshops, fairs, and school programs to promote the need for sound forest management. Forestry staff will assist other staff in critical projects. These include assistance with bear research, chronic wasting disease monitoring, bat monitoring, feral hog trapping, and others. Staff are actively engaged in protecting forests from threats such as invasive plants and monitoring for insect and disease outbreaks.

## Forestry

## FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide an activity measure(s) for the program.

Forestry Division activities are designed to serve the full range of Missouri citizens in both rural and urban areas. One measure of our service is the number of Missouri communities we assist each year with management of their urban forest resources. Missouri has 104 certified Tree City USA communities, and together, these communities represent 43\% of the state's population.


Staff worked with communities to promote sustainable management of their tree resources.

Key accomplishments for FY18 include grants awarded to 35 communities in the state to help them address public tree care needs.

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's quality.

Forestry division actively conducts training with loggers and requires that all timber sales on Department managed lands use trained loggers and preference is given to Master Loggers. The use of trained, and especially, Master Loggers has resulted in less residual damage of trees and greater implementation of best management practices. This reduces the amount of time needed to administer sales and provides a better quality resource for future generations.


Staff worked with Missouri's forest products industry to encourage sustainable management of our forests to improve the quality of their work. A total of 478 loggers in the state have attended this training and are current on their continuing education requirements.

## Forestry

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact.

Acres of public forestland activities including, but not limited to, inventories, forest stand management, prescribed fire, and timber harvest.


Staff cared for over 47,519 acres of public lands to promote long-term forest sustainability and healthy natural communities.

Key accomplishments include:

- Began the process of remeasuring Continuous Forest Inventory Plots on MDC lands in an effort to better understand overall forest conditions, completed forest inventory, timber stand improvement, natural community restoration, prescribed burning, and exotic plant control;
- Managed for a myriad of public use demands including hiking, hunting, horseback riding, camping, and nature viewing; and
- Conducted timber sales impacting 5,857 acres to improve forest health and wildlife habitat.

Acres of Private Forestland Technical Assistance


Staff encouraged private landowners to actively care for their land.
Key accomplishments in FY18 include:

- Worked with 2,120 Missouri landowners owning 99,812 acres providing forest management information.


## Forestry

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact. (continued)



-Projected
Actual making greater use of technology to communicate insect and disease management to the public.

## Forestry

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

Provide a measure(s) of the program's efficiency.


|  | Fiscal Year 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calculated |  | \# of Salaried |  | \# of Salaried |  |  |
|  | Amount | FTE | Amount | Positions | Amount | Positions | Amount | Percent |
| Human Resources Administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | \$162,789 | 3 | \$176,529 | 3 | \$184,301 | 3 | \$7,772 | 4.4\% |
| Hourly Labor | \$48,847 | 0 | \$81,553 | 0 | \$123,283 | 0 | \$41,730 | 51.2\% |
| Expense | \$24,232 | 0 | \$30,700 | 0 | \$45,700 | 0 | \$15,000 | 48.9\% |
| Equipment | \$6,437 | 0 | \$3,000 | 0 | \$13,000 | 0 | \$10,000 | 333.3\% |
| Total | \$242,305 | 3 | \$291,782 | 3 | \$366,284 | 3 | \$74,502 | 25.5\% |
| Health Insurance and Benefits - Health Insurance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fringe Benefits | \$10,128,513 | 0 | \$10,951,491 | 0 | \$10,951,491 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| Expense | \$40 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | N/A |
| Total | \$10,128,553 | 0 | \$10,951,491 | 0 | \$10,951,491 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| Health Insurance and Benefits - Vendor Apparel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expense | \$453,030 | 0 | \$450,000 | 0 | \$395,000 | 0 | $(\$ 55,000)$ | -12.2\% |
| Total | \$453,030 | 0 | \$450,000 | 0 | \$395,000 | 0 | $(\$ 55,000)$ | -12.2\% |
| Health Insurance and Benefits - Compensation and Benefits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | \$240,307 | 5 | \$336,926 | 6 | \$343,922 | 6 | \$6,996 | 2.1\% |
| Expense | \$200,964 | 0 | \$118,795 | 0 | \$118,795 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| Total | \$441,271 | 5 | \$455,721 | 6 | \$462,717 | 6 | \$6,996 | 1.5\% |
| Recruitment and Retention - Employee Relations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | $\$ 283,427$ | 6 | \$299,788 | 6 | \$302,768 | 6 | \$2,980 | 1.0\% |
| Hourly Labor | $\$ 23,500$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | \#DIV/0! |
| Expense | $\$ 131,147$ | 0 | \$178,150 | 0 | \$196,770 | 0 | \$18,620 | 10.5\% |
| Equipment | $\$ 0$ | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | N/A |
| Total | \$438,074 | 6 | \$477,938 | 6 | \$499,538 | 6 | \$21,600 | 4.5\% |
| Recruitment and Retention - Recruitment and Selection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | \$305,081 | 7 | \$246,255 | 6 | \$256,244 | 6 | \$9,989 | 100.0\% |
| Hourly Labor | \$80,662 | 0 | \$88,000 | 0 | \$88,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| Expense | \$177,578 | 0 | \$249,365 | 0 | \$234,365 | 0 | $(\$ 15,000)$ | -6.0\% |
| Equipment | \$299 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | N/A |
| Total | \$563,620 | 7 | \$583,620 | 6 | \$578,609 | 6 | $(\$ 5,011)$ | -0.9\% |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | \$991,604 | 21 | \$1,059,498 | 21 | \$1,087,235 | 21 | \$27,737 | 2.6\% |
| Fringe Benefits | \$10,128,513 | 0 | \$10,951,491 | 0 | \$10,951,491 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| Hourly Labor | \$153,008 | 0 | \$169,553 | 0 | \$211,283 | 0 | \$41,730 | 24.6\% |
| Expense | \$986,991 | 0 | \$1,027,010 | 0 | \$990,630 | 0 | $(\$ 36,380)$ | -3.5\% |
| Equipment | \$6,736 | 0 | \$3,000 | 0 | \$13,000 | 0 | \$10,000 | 333.3\% |
| Total | \$12,266,852 | 21 | \$13,210,552 | 21 | \$13,253,639 | 21 | \$43,087 | 0.3\% |

## Human Resources FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## What strategic priority does this program address?

Goal 3: MDC maintains public trust

## What does this program do?

Human Resources Division coordinates activities to maintain a sustainable Total Rewards program, which continues to attract and retain a high-performing talented workforce. This Division has oversight of Total Rewards policies and strategies, including job classification and salary administration, health insurance, and retirement benefits. The Human Resources division includes the program areas of Human Resources Administration, Health Insurance and Benefits, and Recruitment and Retention (includes Tuition Reimbursement, Internship Program, and Workforce Diversity).

## Human Resource Administration

Coordinates day-to-day activities of Human Resources Division including compensation and benefits, employee relations, human resources information system, recruitment and selection, safety, and training and development.

## Health Insurance and Benefits

Funds the Commission's contribution to the employee health insurance program. The Commission pays $68 \%$ of employee health insurance premiums and up to $35 \%$ of retiree health insurance premiums. The program is designed to enhance the health and welfare of the Department's employees, retirees, and their dependents while maintaining the viability of the Plan. The Department provides employees with opportunities for improved physical and mental well-being through utilization of wellness incentives and programs including health screens and the employee assistance program (EAP).

## Recruitment and Retention (includes Tuition Reimbursement, Internship Program, and Worforce Diversity)

Provides a challenging work environment that encourages employees to seek opportunities to further their career through various programs. Programs available include advanced education through tuition reimbursement and paid internships to college students to prepare them for potential full-time employment. These programs encourage and foster a culture that values diversity while attaining compliance with Federal and State Laws and Executive Orders regarding equal opportunity and diversity.

## Human Resources FY 2020 Budget Narrative

Provide an activity measure(s) for the program.


*Employees are usually seasonal and work between two to ten months during the year.

The Department's turnover rate is one of the lowest of all Missouri state agencies, according to the Office of Administration's Division of Personnel 2017 Annual Report.

[^4]
## Human Resources FY 2020 Budget Narrative

Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact.

Number of Salaried Positions Filled


Provide a measure(s) of the program's efficiency.

*FY18 Department-wide job study conducted.

The Department's promise to serve the public extends beyond our goal to provide exceptional public service by recruiting, developing and retaining a diverse and skilled workforce. In the past few years, staff have responded to catastrophes in and outside of Missouri. Examples include: floods, tornadoes, ice storms, and wildfires. Department employees provide a variety of services using their expertise with heavy equipment, boats, law enforcement, chainsaws, and water rescue.


## Outreach and Education

 Fiscal Year ComparisonFiscal Year 2018 Actual


| Fiscal Year 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Original Budget |  |
|  | \# of Sal |
| Amount | Positions |
| \$1,161,530 | 22 |
| \$10,345 | 0 |
| \$1,147,235 | 0 |
| \$28,700 | 0 |
| \$2,347,810 | 22 |
| \$1,067,674 | 22 |
| \$119,172 | 0 |
| \$3,657,168 | 0 |
| \$11,350 | 0 |
| \$4,855,364 | 22 |
| \$4,718,698 | 109 |
| \$938,539 | 0 |
| \$1,550,540 | 0 |
| \$9,327 | 0 |
| \$7,217,104 | 109 |
| \$6,947,902 | 153 |
| \$1,068,056 | 0 |
| \$6,354,943 | 0 |
| \$49,377 | 0 |
| \$14,420,278 | 153 |

Fiscal Year 2020 Budget

| \# of Salaried |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | Positions | Amount | Percent |
| \$1,190,722 | 22 | \$29,192 | 2.5\% |
| \$44,206 | 0 | \$33,861 | 327.3\% |
| \$1,429,100 | 0 | \$281,865 | 24.6\% |
| \$25,220 | 0 | (\$3,480) | -12.1\% |
| \$2,689,248 | 22 | \$341,438 | 14.5\% |
| \$1,088,216 | 22 | \$20,542 | 1.9\% |
| \$119,172 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| \$3,411,460 | 0 | (\$245,708) | -6.7\% |
| \$13,200 | 0 | \$1,850 | 16.3\% |
| \$4,632,048 | 22 | (\$223,316) | -4.6\% |
| \$4,808,834 | 109 | \$90,136 | 1.9\% |
| \$882,734 | 0 | $(\$ 55,805)$ | -5.9\% |
| \$1,428,975 | 0 | (\$121,565) | -7.8\% |
| \$9,000 | 0 | (\$327) | -3.5\% |
| \$7,129,543 | 109 | $(\$ 87,561)$ | -1.2\% |
| \$7,087,772 | 153 | \$139,870 | 2.0\% |
| \$1,046,112 | 0 | $(\$ 21,944)$ | -2.1\% |
| \$6,269,535 | 0 | $(\$ 85,408)$ | -1.3\% |
| \$47,420 | 0 | $(\$ 1,957)$ | -4.0\% |
| \$14,450,839 | 153 | \$30,561 | 0.2\% |

## Outreach and Education <br> FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## What strategic priority does this program address?

Goal 2: MDC connects people with nature

## What does this program do?

Outreach and Education Division helps Missourians enjoy and understand the value of our fish, forest, and wildlife resources, including how to keep them thriving for years to come. Outreach and Education provides hands-on connections with the outdoors through skills classes, educational units, nature center programs, and special events. In addition, they keep people up-to-date on conservation information such as where they can hunt and fish, hike and bird watch, and discover nature around the state.. The Outreach and Education Division includes the program areas of Outreach and Education Administration, Outreach Programs, and Regional Management.

## Outreach and Education Administration

Provide statewide coordination and direction for Department Outreach and Education programs such as education curriculum and programs, communications (news, social media, marketing, web, video), hunter education, and overall support, including budget oversight, partnerships and grants, and employee training and development.

## Outreach Programs

Provide excellent education and communication opportunities to Missourians through the production of the Missouri Conservationist and Xplor magazines; develops and maintains publications; creates outstanding design, art, photography, and exhibits; delivers quality education units; as well as hunter education and volunteer programs.

## Outreach and Education Regional Management

Provide local, direct, and indirect learning opportunities for citizens through schools, nature centers, interpretive sites, shooting ranges, partner organizations, and regional media. Discover Nature programs are tailored for people of all ages, including kids and families, and focus on learning outdoor skills such as fishing, hunting, kayaking, archery, and shooting sports.

## Outreach and Education <br> FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide an activity measure(s) for the program.

Magazine Circulation: The Missouri Conservationist magazine has an average monthly circulation of over 556,136 paper copies, with a readership more than twice that number (based on the industry standard estimate of 2.5 readers per copy). The online version averages 26,381 monthly subscribers. Subscriptions are provided free, upon request, to Missouri residents (one per household). Deployed military receive subscriptions free of charge. Out-of-state subscriptions cost $\$ 7$ and international subscriptions are $\$ 10$.


* This is a new measure and no projections were made for FY16, FY17, and FY18
- The Conservation Department worked with the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education to develop conservation education curriculum materials and grants for kindergarten through grade 12.
- Schools included: public, parochial, home, pre-schools, colleges, and universities.


## Outreach and Education

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide an activity measure(s) for the program. (continued)



* This is a new measure and no projections were made for FY16, FY17, and FY18.

Number of Unique Devices (in millions) Accessing the Public Website


In FY18, 5.2 million unique devices represent:

- $9,113,362$ total visits to the website
- 2.55 pages visited per viewer
- $23,311,177$ total pages viewed

The Conservation Department also provides content via Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and YouTube

## Outreach and Education <br> FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's quality.

Between May and July 2016, a survey was mailed to a random selection of Conservationist subscribers. Of 14,593 participants (an adjusted response rate of 76.4 percent), 99 percent reported being satisfied or very satisfied with the magazine. Also, no recurring problems or unmet needs were revealed.

The Department is developing two additional quality measures utilizing a customer satisfaction survey to measure O\&E Local Education Programs and unique devices/visits to the website.

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact.



- Attendance at Conservation Nature Centers (6) and interpretive sites (5) includes visitors viewing indoor exhibits, and meetings held by outside groups.
- The Department provides citizens with 5 staffed shooting/ educational centers and over 75 unstaffed shooting ranges.
- Attendance at shooting ranges includes all general rifle,
shotgun, pistol, and archery shooters.
- Busch Shooting Range was closed throughout FY16 and FY17 due to renovation.
- Henges rifle range has been closed since November 2017.


## Outreach and Education <br> FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact. (continued)



This is a new measure and no projections were made for FY16, FY17, and FY18 and actual numbers are not available for FY16.


Actual numbers vary due to several years of refining the reporting process to be more accurate and inclusive of all programs.

- Includes programs at shooting ranges, nature and education centers, interpretive sites, and by other education staff.
- Approximately 1,100 Department staff and volunteers provide about 1,000 hunter education classes each year, certifying over 22,000 participants.
- Missouri has over 1.2 million hunter education graduates.
- In FY18, more than 125,400 students from 682 schools participated in the Missouri National Archery in the Schools Program. Since 2007, more than 880,000 students have participated in the program.


## Outreach and Education <br> FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact. (continued)




* This is a new measure and no projections were made for FY16, FY17, and FY18.
- Volunteer service hour tracking migrated to a new system in FY18, which allows better representation of total volunteer hours.


## Outreach and Education <br> FY 2020 Budget Narrative

Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact. (continued)


Provide a measure(s) of the program's efficiency.
Value of Volunteer Service (in Millions)


* This is a new measure and no projects were made for FY16, FY17, and FY18.
- The value of volunteer service is $\$ 24.14$ per hour based on the Independent Sector Value of Volunteer per hour rate as of 2017


## Outreach and Education

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

Provide a measure(s) of the program's efficiency. (continued)


- Actual cost for FY16 was due to an overage from a redesign in FY15.
- Projected cost beginning in FY20 is due to the additional cost for the website upgrade.

Private Land Services Fiscal Year Comparison

|  | Fiscal Year 20 | 18 Actual | Fiscal Yea Original B | $\frac{r 2019}{\text { udget }}$ | Fiscal Year 20 | 20 Budget | FY2019 to F | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calculated |  | \# of Salaried |  | \# of Salaried |  |  |
|  | Amount | FTE | Amount | Positions | Amount | Positions | Amount | Percent |
| Private Land Services (PLS) Adm | tration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | \$349,577 | 5 | \$341,407 | 5 | \$348,077 | 5 | \$6,670 | 2.0\% |
| Hourly Labor | \$138,333 | 0 | \$178,654 | 0 | \$183,463 | 0 | \$4,809 | 2.7\% |
| Expense | \$3,437,946 | 0 | \$3,226,000 | 0 | \$3,097,300 | 0 | $(\$ 128,700)$ | -4.0\% |
| Equipment | \$19,808 | 0 | \$10,000 | 0 | \$10,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| Total | \$3,945,664 | 5 | \$3,756,061 | 5 | \$3,638,840 | 5 | $(\$ 117,221)$ | -3.1\% |
| PLS Administration - Agriculture | son |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | \$53,304 | 1 | \$53,433 | 1 | \$54,477 | 1 | \$1,044 | 2.0\% |
| Expense | \$14,781 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$36,300 | 0 | \$36,300 | N/A |
| Equipment | \$0 | 0 | \$36,300 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | $(\$ 36,300)$ | -100.0\% |
| Total | \$68,085 | 1 | \$89,733 | 1 | \$90,777 | 1 | \$1,044 | 1.2\% |
| Private Land and Community Pr | ms - Private La | nd Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | \$480,084 | 9 | \$483,706 | 9 | \$495,699 | 9 | \$11,993 | 2.5\% |
| Hourly Labor | \$5,410 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | \#DIV/0! |
| Expense | \$16,532 | 0 | \$29,500 | 0 | \$27,400 | 0 | $(\$ 2,100)$ | -7.1\% |
| Equipment | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | \#DIV/0! |
| Total | \$502,026 | 9 | \$513,206 | 9 | \$523,099 | 9 | \$9,893 | 1.9\% |
| Private Land and Community Pr | ms - Commun | Conservati |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | \$133,776 | 3 | \$145,401 | 3 | \$152,830 | 3 | \$7,429 | 5.1\% |
| Expense | \$299,401 | 0 | \$70,200 | 0 | \$115,200 | 0 | \$45,000 | 64.1\% |
| Equipment | \$256 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | \#DIV/0! |
| Total | \$433,433 | 3 | \$215,601 | 3 | \$268,030 | 3 | \$52,429 | 24.3\% |
| PLS Regional Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | \$2,646,387 | 59 | \$2,637,646 | 56 | \$2,726,876 | 56 | \$89,230 | 3.4\% |
| Hourly Labor | \$34,931 | 0 | \$39,946 | 0 | \$39,946 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| Expense | \$398,752 | 0 | \$338,200 | 0 | \$337,600 | 0 | (\$600) | -0.2\% |
| Equipment | \$21,243 | 0 | \$3,500 | 0 | \$5,400 | 0 | \$1,900 | 54.3\% |
| Total | \$3,101,313 | 59 | \$3,019,292 | 56 | \$3,109,822 | 56 | \$90,530 | 3.0\% |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | \$3,663,128 | 77 | \$3,661,593 | 74 | \$3,777,959 | 74 | \$116,366 | 3.2\% |
| Hourly Labor | \$178,675 | 0 | \$218,600 | 0 | \$223,409 | 0 | \$4,809 | 2.2\% |
| Expense | \$4,167,412 | 0 | \$3,663,900 | 0 | \$3,613,800 | 0 | $(\$ 50,100)$ | -1.4\% |
| Equipment | \$41,307 | 0 | \$49,800 | 0 | \$15,400 | 0 | $(\$ 34,400)$ | -69.1\% |
| Total | \$8,050,522 | 77 | \$7,593,893 | 74 | \$7,630,568 | 74 | \$36,675 | 0.5\% |

## Private Land Services <br> FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## What strategic priority does this program address?

Goal 1: MDC takes care of nature

## What does this program do?

Private Land Services Division works with private landowners who own over $93 \%$ of Missouri's land to ensure conservation success in many different forms.
This Division is broken into the following areas: Private Land Services Administration, Private Lands and Community Programs, and Private Land Services

## Private Land Services Administration

Private Land Services Administration exists primarily to develop conservation partnerships with state and federal agencies and private organizations involved in agricultural and wildlife habitat management efforts in order to expand the conservation footprint to address our highest priority focus areas. This is accomplished with on the ground projects and partnerships working towards a common goal.

## Private Lands and Community Programs

Private Lands and Community Programs section works to expand effective working partnerships with the US Department of Agriculture agencies to integrate fish, forest, and wildlife considerations into implementation of Farm Bill Programs available to Missouri landowners with over $\$ 140$ million per year in cost share. This program also continues to implement the Missouri Outdoor Recreational Access Program statewide.

The Community Conservation portion of this program helps communities value and incorporate natural resource stewardship as part of planning and growth management to protect valuable fish, forest, and wildlife resources that create quality habitat for people and nature. It assists local units of government and non-profit organizations with the planning and implementation of community conservation projects that will provide social, economic, and environmental services and will promote conservation friendly development practices that connect people to nature.

## Private Land Services Regional

Private Land Services Regional section administers resource education and technical assistance to private landowners interested in conserving and managing the state's fish, forest, and wildlife resources and provides technical expertise to rural communities, urban planners, and developers in the Kansas City, St. Louis, and Springfield areas. This program offers cost-share tailored to regional needs that complement non-Department programs and allows work with partners and local governments to foster approaches to land development that conserve and protect fish, forest, and wildlife.

## Private Land Services

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide an activity measure(s) for the program.



There were over 235 habitat workshops and landowner events conducted in FY18, with approximately 11,337 people in attendance.

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's quality.

Private Land Services values our public and their opinions regarding the services we offer. Periodically, we survey landowners to determine their attitudes and satisfaction with the services provided by Private Land Services field staff. In FY10, a survey was conducted and the results mirror those of a FY08 survey and revealed that $86 \%$ of landowners receiving assistance were very satisfied with the service provided. In FY16, the same survey was conducted again and the results show an increase over the FY10 survey with $93 \%$ of the respondents being either somewhat or very satisfied with the assistance they received. The survey also showed that $94 \%$ of landowners believed the recommended practices would help them meet their management goals.

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact.



## In FY18:

- 4,605 site visits with private landowners were performed.
- 2,129 habitat management plans were developed for private landowners.
- The Department's Landowner Assistance Program paid out nearly $\$ 2$ million in cost-share and incentives to Missouri landowners.


# Private Land Services 

FY 2020 Budget Narrative
Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact. (continued)


Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact. (continued)

## Number of Farm Bill Site Visits



Farm Bill related site visits decreased due to a drop in Community Reserve Program (CRP) activity as a result of reaching the national CRP acreage cap, effectively suspending enrollment nationwide.
*Number of technical planning contacts is driven by landowners requesting assistance.

- Federal Farm Bill conservation program payments were almost $\$ 14.1$ million. - A total of $\$ 2.4$ million of Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) was available for wildlife habitat restoration and forestry management practices. Specifically, $\$ 933,450$ of EQIP funds were allocated through the Wildlife Fund Pool, \$184,000 in the Working Lands for Monarchs program, and $\$ 1,306,830$ were allocated through the Forestland Fund Pool.
- Through the Regional Grassland Bird and Grazing Land Enhancement Initiative, approximately $\$ 477,925$ were allocated to livestock producers to assist in enhancing pastureland for wildlife including converting introduced forages to native forages. An additional $\$ 400,000$ were allocated through the new Conservation Ranching Program for Missouri Farmers RCPP, which is in support of the new Audubon Conservation Ranching program.
- Approximately $\$ 454,668$ were allocated directly to private landowners to assist in glade and woodland restoration through the Restoring Glade and Woodland Communities for Threatened Species program.
- Through the Agricultural Conservation Easement Program - Wetland Reserve Easements (ACEP-WRE), an additional 1,728 acres of perpetual wetland easements were enrolled for a total of $\$ 9.2$ million. This brings the statewide total to 157,870 acres of permanent wetland habitat on private land.
- Approximately $\$ 882,838$ were allocated to landowners to assist with enhancing wetland acres through the MDC/NRCS Partnership project: Wetland Reserve Enhancement Program.
- In total, approximately $\$ 14,173,366$ were allocated to private landowners to assist in wildlife habitat restoration on private land and increasing outdoor recreational opportunities in FY18.

Private Land Services FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's efficiency.



Number of Landowner Cooperatives


In FY18, the Department continued efforts to develop landowner-led cooperatives (co-op) to help landowners work together to address wildlife management and habitat restoration. This effort has paid off with nearly a $30 \%$ increase in co-ops over the years.

Protection
Fiscal Year Comparison


| Fiscal Year 2019 <br> Original Budget |  |
| ---: | :---: |
| \# of Salaried <br> Positions |  |
| Amount |  |
|  |  |
| $\$ 516,574$ | 9 |
| $\$ 5,417$ | 0 |
| $\$ 135,570$ | 0 |
| $\$ 76,400$ | 0 |
| $\$ 733,961$ | 9 |
|  |  |
| $\$ 438,525$ | 8 |
| $\$ 320,165$ | 0 |
| $\$ 11,000$ | 0 |
| $\$ 769,690$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 98,906$ | 10 |
| $\$ 211,700$ | 0 |
| $\$ 0$ | 0 |
| $\$ 310,606$ | 10 |
|  |  |
| $\$ 9,395,867$ | 189 |
| $\$ 810,445$ | 0 |
| $\$ 53,370$ | 0 |
| $\$ 10,259,682$ | 189 |
|  |  |
| $\$ 10,449,872$ | 216 |
| $\$ 5,417$ | 0 |
| $\$ 1,477,880$ | 0 |
| $\$ 140,770$ | 0 |
| $\$ 12,073,939$ | 216 |

Fiscal Year 2020 Budget

| Amount | \# of Salaried Positions | Amount | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$514,370 | 9 | $(\$ 2,204)$ | -0.4\% |
| \$0 | 0 | $(\$ 5,417)$ | -100.0\% |
| \$132,370 | 0 | $(\$ 3,200)$ | -2.4\% |
| \$65,000 | 0 | $(\$ 11,400)$ | -14.9\% |
| \$711,740 | 9 | $(\$ 22,221)$ | -3.0\% |
| \$468,917 | 8 | \$30,392 | 6.9\% |
| \$285,335 | 0 | $(\$ 34,830)$ | -10.9\% |
| \$8,900 | 0 | $(\$ 2,100)$ | -19.1\% |
| \$763,152 | 8 | $(\$ 6,538)$ | -0.8\% |
| \$473,616 | 12 | \$374,710 | 378.9\% |
| \$227,100 | 0 | \$15,400 | 7.3\% |
| \$0 | 0 | \$0 | \#DIV/0! |
| \$700,716 | 12 | \$390,110 | 125.6\% |
| \$9,728,210 | 188 | \$332,343 | 3.5\% |
| \$778,880 | 0 | (\$31,565) | -3.9\% |
| \$32,000 | 0 | $(\$ 21,370)$ | -40.0\% |
| \$10,539,090 | 188 | \$279,408 | 2.7\% |
| \$11,185,113 | 217 | \$735,241 | 7.0\% |
| \$0 | 0 | $(\$ 5,417)$ | -100.0\% |
| \$1,423,685 | 0 | $(\$ 54,195)$ | -3.7\% |
| \$105,900 | 0 | $(\$ 34,870)$ | -24.8\% |
| \$12,714,698 | 217 | \$640,759 | 5.3\% |

## Protection

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## What strategic priority does this program address?

Goal 1: MDC takes care of nature

## What does this program do?

Protection Division is responsible for the Conservation Department's law enforcement program. The division employs a community policing approach that utilizes law enforcement and community engagement strategies to protect nature and people, to create partnerships with citizens to solve problems, and to increase public support for Department priorities. The Protection Division may be broken down into the program areas of Protection Administration, Protection Programs/Training, and Protection Regional.

## Protection Administration

Protection Administration is responsible for communicating vision and guidance to field staff and the public related to the Department's law enforcement program and other conservation priorities. Protection Administration works directly with a variety of partner organizations and responds to numerous inquiries, complaints and commendations related to field activities. The child support permit revocation database, courtimposed permit revocation database, Interstate Wildlife Violator Compact program, incident/arrest record database, falconry program, hunting method exemption program, group fishing program, and the regulations portion of the atlas database are also coordinated by Protection Administration.

## Protection Programs/Training

Protection Programs/Training includes basic academy training and continuing education for conservation agents, special investigations, confined wildlife enforcement, and the Operation Game Thief/Forest Arson (OGT) and Share The Harvest (STH) programs.

Protection Division operates a Peace Officers Standards and Training (POST) licensed basic training academy to provide law enforcement and specialized training for conservation agents. This includes a 1,000+ hour basic training academy dedicated to training new conservation agents and continuing education courses for conservation agents that satisfy POST regulations and Department policies.

The special investigations unit is primarily focused on addressing illegal commercialization of fish, forest, and wildlife resources. Unit personnel provide technical assistance to field staff on investigations that require specialized skills/equipment, monitor pressure on specific wildlife populations to formulate enforcement strategies to address their exploitation, gather intelligence, and work closely with various local, state, and federal agencies to accomplish Department priorities.

The Confined Wildlife Enforcement Unit supports enforcement efforts related to confined cervids and other confined wildlife. Unit personnel interact with persons holding wildlife in confinement and provide a consistent mechanism for conducting inspections, gathering information, and conducting investigations related to confined wildlife.

## Protection

## FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Protection Programs/Training (continued)

The Share the Harvest program provides legally donated commercially processed deer meat to not-for-profit charitable organizations for distribution to underprivileged Missourians. The program is a cooperative effort between the Conservation Federation of Missouri and the Department.

The Operation Game Thief/Forest Arson program provides a way for citizens to report wildlife violations or forest arson with the ability to remain anonymous and/or receive a reward for their information. The program includes a toll-free hotline staffed 24 hours per day, a web-based reporting system for providing reports to conservation agents via e-mail, and an awards disbursement program administered by the Conservation Federation of Missouri.

## Protection Regional

Protection field staff are responsible for being the front-line representative of the Conservation Commission in their assigned areas. The primary focus of field staff is to employ community policing strategies to increase public support for Department priorities and to gain compliance with the Wildlife Code of Missouri. This includes conducting resource law enforcement activities, patrolling locations associated with outdoor recreation to provide safe and inviting places for citizens to enjoy nature, creating partnerships with citizens to solve problems, conducting outreach and education activities, responding to natural disasters and law enforcement/public safety emergencies as necessary, assisting landowners with accomplishing habitat management goals, and participating in the monitoring of various populations of fish, forest and wildlife resources.

## Protection

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide an activity measure(s) for the program.




## Protection

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's quality.



In a 2013 survey, 65\% of Missourians agree that the "Department of Conservation is doing a good job of enforcing fish and wildlife laws," with only three percent disagreeing. In 2003, in a similar survey conducted by mail, $59 \%$ agreed with four percent disagreeing.

Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact.



## Protection

## FY 2020 Budget Narrative

Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact. (continued)



Provide a measure(s) of the program's efficiency.


## Resource Science Fiscal Year Comparison



| Fiscal Year 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original Budget |  | Fiscal Year 2020 Budget |  | FY2019 to FY2020 Change |  |
|  | \# of Salaried |  | \# of Salaried |  |  |
| Amount | Positions | Amount | Positions | Amount | Percent |
| \$370,700 | 6 | \$308,421 | 5 | $(\$ 62,279)$ | -16.8\% |
| \$15,838 | 0 | \$43,500 | 0 | \$27,662 | 174.7\% |
| \$395,851 | 0 | \$405,496 | 0 | \$9,645 | 2.4\% |
| \$5,035 | 0 | \$12,446 | 0 | \$7,411 | 147.2\% |
| \$787,424 | 6 | \$769,863 | 5 | $(\$ 17,561)$ | -2.2\% |
| \$2,056,720 | 39 | \$2,104,497 | 39 | \$47,777 | 2.3\% |
| \$679,506 | 0 | \$705,378 | 0 | \$25,872 | 3.8\% |
| \$3,360,479 | 0 | \$3,394,612 | 0 | \$34,133 | 1.0\% |
| \$235,974 | 0 | \$152,544 | 0 | $(\$ 83,430)$ | -35.4\% |
| \$6,332,679 | 39 | \$6,357,031 | 39 | \$24,352 | 0.4\% |
| \$2,044,732 | 43 | \$2,136,965 | 44 | \$92,233 | 4.5\% |
| \$562,033 | 0 | \$541,468 | 0 | $(\$ 20,565)$ | -3.7\% |
| \$1,644,036 | 0 | \$1,520,021 | 0 | $(\$ 124,015)$ | -7.5\% |
| \$39,054 | 0 | \$47,680 | 0 | \$8,626 | 22.1\% |
| \$4,289,855 | 43 | \$4,246,134 | 44 | $(\$ 43,721)$ | -1.0\% |
| \$4,472,152 | 88 | \$4,549,883 | 88 | \$77,731 | 1.7\% |
| \$1,257,377 | 0 | \$1,290,346 | 0 | \$32,969 | 2.6\% |
| \$5,400,366 | 0 | \$5,320,129 | 0 | $(\$ 80,237)$ | -1.5\% |
| \$280,063 | 0 | \$212,670 | 0 | $(\$ 67,393)$ | -24.1\% |
| \$11,409,958 | 88 | \$11,373,028 | 88 | $(\$ 36,930)$ | -0.3\% |

## Resource Science <br> FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## What strategic priority does this program address?

Goal 1: MDC takes care of nature

## What does this program do?

Resource Science Division provides fish, forest and wildlife research, survey and monitoring expertise and oversees programs and projects to provide the Department sound scientific information to inform decisions. The Resource Science Division includes the program areas of Resource Science Administration, Conservation Research Center Section, and Resource Science Heritage and Regional Field Stations Section.

## Resource Science Administration

Resource Science Administration is responsible for developing and gathering sound scientific information in a manner that ensures Department priorities are met and scientific rigor is achieved. It disseminates research findings within and outside of the Department; promotes financial accountability for expenditures; develops standard operating procedures; and provides long term guidance to division staff. Resource Science Administration coordinates the budget; vehicle sharing; manages cooperative agreements and grants with other entities; serves as a liaison with universities and other agencies on complex resource issues; and provides general direction to the State Wildlife Veterinarian.

The State Wildlife Veterinarian is charged with leading strategic planning, design and implementation of a comprehensive wildlife health program within the state; provides information on disease eradication, control and management information; and serves as a liaison on disease issues with other state and federal agencies.

## Conservation Research Center Section

The Conservation Research Center Section is based out of the Central Regional Office and Conservation Research Center in Columbia and is comprised of four research units that conduct research statewide to inform scientifically based management, regulation and policy decisions within the Department. The four research units are focused on topics related to Terrestrial Systems, Aquatic Systems and Environmental Health, Human Dimensions and Biometrics, and Science, Technology and Policy Support.

## Terrestrial Systems Unit:

Monitors population status and develops population management goals and regulations for the harvesting of many of the high-profile wildlife species, such as deer, turkey, and furbearers, and develops management recommendations for habitat strategies. Directs monitoring and management efforts regarding elk, black bear and mountain lions. This unit develops recommendations for management of waterfowl hunting seasons, bag limits and zones, and watershed-floodplain-riparian issues, and wetland management. This unit directs the sample collection, processing, data analyses and management for the Department's chronic wasting disease monitoring and control effort.

## Aquatic Systems and Environmental Health Unit:

Conducts research, management evaluations, monitoring, and surveys of aquatic organisms, including crayfish and mussels, on rivers and streams, lakes and impoundments, and wetlands to support management of aquatic systems. This unit also works on fish species of conservation concern and interactions of predators and prey in reservoir and riverine fisheries. Unit staff also conduct pollution and fish kill investigation and training, and contaminant assessments.

## Resource Science <br> FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Human Dimensions and Biometrics Unit:

This unit conducts surveys and focus groups to better understand the opinions and attitudes of Missourians which is integrated with biological information to inform management and policy decisions. This unit also provides statistical direction to ensure that research projects are conducted with statistical rigor and the information generated is scientifically sound.

## Science, Technology, and Policy Support Unit:

Ensures efficient and reliable access to research and monitoring databases and promotes the use of geospatial technology to better understand and document natural resource decisions.

## Resource Science Heritage and Regional Field Stations Section

The Heritage and Regional Field Stations Section houses the Heritage Program Unit out of the Central Office in Jefferson City that conducts management, research and monitoring on species of conservation concern and natural communities, as well as five field stations distributed across the state that focus on ecological processes at the system level, along with management and research: Big River and Wetlands Systems, Grassland Systems, Forest Systems, Agricultural Systems and Missouri River.

## Heritage Unit:

Provides expertise for management, recovery and research on species and communities of conservation concern and maintains the Natural Heritage Database. This program tracks the status and location of species of conservation concern and natural communities, and ensures that they are carefully documented so that the information can be used by managers, other agencies, and citizens for conservation planning.

## Big Rivers and Wetlands Systems Field Station:

Conducts research and monitoring to understand ecological processes and develops tools and strategies to better manage the Mississippi River main channel and floodplain habitats for fish and wildlife. Research includes efforts on bottomland forests and wetlands for fish, invertebrate, and wildlife with emphasis on species of conservation concern, and invasive species. Significant funding is provided by federal agencies.

## Grassland Systems Field Station:

This station focuses research efforts on wet and dry native prairie and savannas, the restoration of cropland or exotic grasslands to native prairie, grassland-associated fish, invertebrate, wildlife and plant species of conservation concern, and all streams and impoundments fed by grassland watersheds. Ecological stream flows, stream habitats, and stream-bank stabilization methods are also studied.

## Forest Systems Field Station

This station's research activities include management implications for upland forests, glades, savannas, karst features, and cold and warm water streams and impoundments drained by forested watersheds, and management for forest-associated fish, invertebrate and wildlife species of conservation concern. Field coordination of the Missouri Ozark Forest Ecosystem Project is conducted by staff in this field station.

## Agricultural Systems Field Station:

This station's research activities center on a variety of agricultural habitat types including retired crop lands, Conservation Reserve Program plantings, old fields, concentrated livestock operations, and streams and impoundments drained by agricultural watersheds. Staff evaluate management strategies for agricultural-associated fish, invertebrate, and wildlife species of conservation concern.

## Missouri River Field Station:

This station conducts focused monitoring efforts on the Missouri River to support several active restoration and recovery programs and to measure the success of these activities. This field station coordinates activities and data analyses of many cooperating state and federal agencies and is almost fully funded by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

## Resource Science FY 2020 Budget Narrative

Provide an activity measure(s) for the program.
This program conducts research statewide and assists Department managers throughout the state through a number of cooperative agreements with universities, state and federal agencies, and conservation organizations used to conduct projects.


Provide a measure(s) of the program's quality
Deer Hunter and Turkey Hunter satisfaction measures percentage satisfied with the management of the respective hunter programs. Deer Abundance measures the percentage of surveyed that agree Missouri has the correct population of deer.


* New measure in FY18

Turkey Hunter Satisfaction

** FY18 data for Spring 2018 season is being analyzed and not yet available.

## Resource Science <br> FY 2020 Budget Narrative

Provide a measure(s) of the program's quality. (continued)


Hunter satisfaction surveys can be variable from one year to the next, depending on success of hunt, number of target animals seen, weather conditions, and other factors. Projections are; therefore, based on three year running average.

Numbers of deer and turkey harvested are reported in the Wildlife Division Program Description. Number of hunter license holders is reported in the Administrative Services Program Desciption.

Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact.
The effectiveness of this program is represented by the way project information and outcomes are applied. For example, surveys of constituents regarding deer season timing and satisfaction are used in conjunction with deer population information to formulate annual management strategies, regulations, and deer hunting seasons in Missouri.


## Resource Science

## FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's efficiency.

In FY18, staff in this program spent 214,617 hours working on research and monitoring projects and programs. The efficiency measure (total hours/number of projects) is $1,663.70$ hours per project, or about $9 / 10$ th of an FTE per project. Research and monitoring projects conducted each year will vary depending on agency priorities and information needs.



Wildlife
Fiscal Year Comparison

| Fiscal Year 2018 Actual |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Calculated |
| Amount | FTE |
|  |  |
| $\$ 1,049,269$ | 19 |
| $\$ 74,950$ | 0 |
| $\$ 4,676,183$ | 0 |
| $\$ 146,461$ | 0 |
| $\$ 5,946,863$ | 19 |
|  |  |
| $\$ 6,460,207$ | 172 |
| $\$ 995,858$ | 0 |
| $\$ 5,233,663$ | 0 |
| $\$ 282,598$ | 0 |
| $\$ 12,972,326$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 7,509,476$ | 191 |
| $\$ 1,070,809$ | 0 |
| $\$ 9,909,846$ | 0 |
| $\$ 499,059$ | 0 |
| $\$ 18,919,189$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1}$ |
|  |  |


| Fiscal Year 2019 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original Budget |  | Fiscal Year 2020 Budget |  |
|  | \# of Salaried |  | \# of Salaried |
| Amount | Positions | Amount | Positions |
| \$1,105,720 | 19 | \$1,139,112 | 19 |
| \$88,550 | 0 | \$95,164 | 0 |
| \$4,766,200 | 0 | \$4,622,500 | 0 |
| \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| \$5,960,470 | 19 | \$5,856,776 | 19 |
| \$6,884,817 | 181 | \$7,007,288 | 181 |
| \$1,214,350 | 0 | \$1,236,400 | 0 |
| \$4,901,450 | 0 | \$4,593,450 | 0 |
| \$228,300 | 0 | \$242,250 | 0 |
| \$13,228,917 | 181 | \$13,079,388 | 181 |
| \$7,990,537 | 200 | \$8,146,400 | 200 |
| \$1,302,900 | 0 | \$1,331,564 | 0 |
| \$9,667,650 | 0 | \$9,215,950 | 0 |
| \$228,300 | 0 | \$242,250 | 0 |
| \$19,189,387 | 200 | \$18,936,164 | 200 |

FY2019 to FY2020 Change

| Amount | Percent |
| ---: | ---: |
|  |  |
| $\$ 33,392$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 6,614$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| $(\$ 143,700)$ | $-3.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 0$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $(\$ 103,694)$ | $-1.7 \%$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 122,471$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 22,050$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| $(\$ 308,000)$ | $-6.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 13,950$ | $6.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{( \$ 1 4 9 , 5 2 9 )}$ | $-1.1 \%$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 155,863$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 28,664$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| $(\$ 451,700)$ | $-4.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 13,950$ | $6.1 \%$ |
| $(\$ 253,223)$ | $-1.3 \%$ |

## Wildlife

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## What strategic priority does this program address?

Goal 1: MDC takes care of nature

## What does this program do?

Wildlife Division is responsible for actively managing and restoring wildlife and their habitats on public and private lands; supporting wildlife and habitat research and monitoring activities; and providing opportunities for citizens on Department-managed lands. Wildlife Division fulfills these responsibilities through close work and communication with citizens, partner divisions, agencies, and non-governmental organizations. The Wildlife Division includes the program areas of Wildlife Administration/Programs and Regional Management.

## Wildlife Administration/Programs:

The Wildlife Administration/Programs area is made up of Wildlife Administration, Habitat Systems Program, Wildlife Diversity Program and Wildlife Management and Assistant Program.

Wildlife Administration: Provides strategic leadership and guidance for programs and activities that conserve habitats for all Missouri wildlife locally, regionally, nationally, and internationally. Coordinates funding support and budgeting, as well as accomplishment reporting, regulation coordination, public communication and marketing, area planning, and habitat inventory to aid staff and the public in the appreciation of the state's wildlife resources

Habitat Systems: Provides expertise and leadership to Department staff and conservation partners to aggressively restore and manage important wildlife habitats and natural communities like wetlands, savannas, glades, forests, and grasslands across Missouri with particular focus in priority geographies. Coordinates the Missouri Natural Areas program. Leads efforts to increase awareness and coordinate the control of terrestrial invasive species. Provides expertise and guidance to Missouri communities in matters concerning urban wildlife habitat management.

Wildlife Diversity: Provides coordination and leadership for restoration of populations of all wildlife, particularly species of conservation concern. Maintains and encourages wildlife diversity through natural community management and restoration on Department and private lands. Provides coordination for the Endangered Species Program and all bird conservation efforts, and State Wildlife Grant Program.

Wildlife Management and Assistance: Works to build capacity, understanding and appreciation for the use and management of game species and works to assist with wildlife damage and nuisance wildlife issues. Integrates biological and social sciences related to wildlife population trends and citizen expectations for wildlife management.

## Regional Management:

Conduct active wildlife management, habitat management and restoration, provide public access and recreational opportunities, engage citizens in conservation and implement statewide programs. Conduct managed hunts for deer, dove, turkey, and waterfowl opportunities on conservation areas for interested public, including managed hunts specific to youth and those with disabilities. Provide expertise and guidance to Missouri communities in matters concerning urban wildlife management like nuisance issues and urban deer management program hunts. Provide expertise and guidance to Missouri communities in matters concerning urban wildlife management. Wildlife Regional staff have administrative responsibility for approximately 360 conservation areas.

## Wildlife

## FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide an activity measure(s) for the program.




Examples of active management include prescribed burning, disking, flooding, over-seeding legumes, planting crops, exotic species removal, edge feathering, etc. Also manage for a variety of public uses, including hunting, fishing, hiking, biking, horseback riding, camping, and nature viewing

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's quality.

The most recent data available from the U.S. Fish \& Wildlife Service indicate that $11 \%$ of Missourians participate in hunting. Participation in the eight states bordering Missouri ranges from $3 \%$ (Illinois) to $14 \%$ (Arkansas), the national rate is $5 \%$. In addition, $35 \%$ of adult Missourians enjoy viewing wildlife (i.e. feeding, photographing, or observing). Participation in the eight bordering states ranges from $24 \%$ to $48 \%$, with only lowa having a higher rate than Missouri; the national rate is $31 \%$.

## Wildlife

FY 2020 Budget Narrative

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact



Deer and turkey hunting are big business in Missouri. About 155,000 turkey hunters spend more than $\$ 125$ million each year on travel, food, lodging, and hunting equipment. In all, the economic impact of turkey hunting in Missouri is more than $\$ 248$ million annually and it supports more than 2,300 jobs. About 520,000 deer hunters spend more than $\$ 750$ million each year directly related to deer hunting in Missouri, which generates more than $\$ 1$ billion in overall business activity in Missouri and supports more than 11,000 jobs.

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact. (continued)



The goal of the Missouri Natural Areas System is the designation and protection of high quality examples of Missouri's diverse natural communities.

## Provide a measure(s) of the program's efficiency.

In 2011, nearly $\$ 2$ billion dollars were spent on goods and services related to hunting and wildlife viewing in Missouri (The 2011 Economic Impacts of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife Viewing in Missouri prepared by Environ, 2014). Total economic business impacts for activities were estimated at $\$ 3.5$ billion.

## Site Administration Fiscal Year Comparison



Site Administration - Office Operations Southwest Region
Salaries
Hourly Labor
Expense

| $\$ 98,196$ | 3 |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 11,372$ | 0 |
| $\$ 48,801$ | 0 |
| $\$ 8,237$ | 0 |

$\frac{\text { Fiscal Year } 2019}{\text { Original Budget }}$
Original Budget
\# of Salaried

| Amount | Positio |
| ---: | ---: |
|  |  |
| $\$ 103,640$ | 3 |
| $\$ 24,400$ | 0 |
| $\$ 77,200$ | 0 |
| $\$ 0$ | 0 |
| $\$ 205,240$ | 3 |
|  |  |
| $\$ 95,594$ | 3 |
| $\$ 40,100$ | 0 |
| $\$ 116,700$ | 0 |
| $\$ 1,800$ | 0 |
| $\$ 254,194$ | 3 |
|  |  |
| $\$ 137,908$ | 4 |
| $\$ 60,400$ | 0 |
| $\$ 94,600$ | 0 |
| $\$ 9900$ | 0 |
| $\$ 293,808$ | 4 |
|  |  |
| $\$ 169,803$ | 5 |
| $\$ 22,500$ | 0 |
| $\$ 112,800$ | 0 |
| $\$ 3,200$ | 0 |
| $\$ 308,303$ | 5 |
| $\$ 98,269$ | 3 |
| $\$ 35,600$ | 0 |
| $\$ 232,600$ | 0 |
| $\$ 600$ | 0 |
| $\$ 367,069$ | 3 |
| $\$ 104,595$ | 3 |
| $\$ 13,000$ | 0 |
| $\$ 45,600$ | 0 |
| $\$ 300$ | 0 |
| $\$ 163,495$ | 3 |
|  |  |

Fiscal Year 2020 Budget

| \# of Salaried |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | Positions | Amount | Percent |
| \$106,398 | 3 | \$2,758 | 2.7\% |
| \$24,937 | 0 | \$537 | 2.2\% |
| \$77,200 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| \$550 | 0 | \$550 | \#DIV/0! |
| \$209,085 | 3 | \$3,845 | 1.9\% |
| \$98,127 | 3 | \$2,533 | 2.6\% |
| \$40,982 | 0 | \$882 | 2.2\% |
| \$116,700 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| \$1,800 | 0 | \$0 | 0.0\% |
| \$257,609 | 3 | \$3,415 | 1.3\% |
| \$144,797 | 4 | \$6,889 | 5.0\% |
| \$61,729 | 0 | \$1,329 | 2.2\% |
| \$94,296 | 0 | (\$304) | -0.3\% |
| \$0 | 0 | (\$900) | -100.0\% |
| \$300,822 | 4 | \$7,014 | 2.4\% |
| \$177,475 | 5 | \$7,672 | 4.5\% |
| \$22,995 | 0 | \$495 | 2.2\% |
| \$112,500 | 0 | (\$300) | -0.3\% |
| \$2,925 | 0 | (\$275) | -8.6\% |
| \$315,895 | 5 | \$7,592 | 2.5\% |
| \$101,519 | 3 | \$3,250 | 3.3\% |
| \$36,383 | 0 | \$783 | 2.2\% |
| \$119,000 | 0 | (\$113,600) | -48.8\% |
| \$0 | 0 | (\$600) | -100.0\% |
| \$256,902 | 3 | $(\$ 110,167)$ | -30.0\% |
| \$112,753 | 3 | \$8,158 | 7.8\% |
| \$13,286 | 0 | \$286 | 2.2\% |
| \$45,500 | 0 | (\$100) | -0.2\% |
| \$0 | 0 | (\$300) | -100.0\% |
| \$171,539 | 3 | \$8,044 | 4.9\% |

## Site Administration <br> Fiscal Year Comparison



| Fiscal Year 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original Budget |  | Fiscal Year 2020 Budget |  | FY2019 to FY2020 Change |  |
|  | \# of Salaried |  | \# of Salaried |  |  |
| Amount | Positions | Amount | Positions | Amount | Percent |
| \$68,351 | 2 | \$71,653 | 2 | \$3,302 | 4.8\% |
| \$14,500 | 0 | \$14,819 | 0 | \$319 | 2.2\% |
| \$49,500 | 0 | \$49,300 | 0 | (\$200) | -0.4\% |
| \$600 | 0 | \$848 | 0 | \$248 | 41.3\% |
| \$132,951 | 2 | \$136,620 | 2 | \$3,669 | 2.8\% |
| \$62,255 | 2 | \$64,042 | 2 | \$1,787 | 2.9\% |
| \$22,000 | 0 | \$22,484 | 0 | \$484 | 2.2\% |
| \$58,800 | 0 | \$59,500 | 0 | \$700 | 1.2\% |
| \$1,000 | 0 | \$1,075 | 0 | \$75 | 7.5\% |
| \$144,055 | 2 | \$147,101 | 2 | \$3,046 | 2.1\% |
| \$17,500 | 0 | \$15,904 | 0 | $(\$ 1,596)$ | -9.1\% |
| \$17,500 | 0 | \$15,904 | 0 | (\$1,596) | -9.1\% |
| \$840,415 | 25 | \$876,764 | 25 | \$36,349 | 4.3\% |
| \$232,500 | 0 | \$237,615 | 0 | \$5,115 | 2.2\% |
| \$984,470 | 0 | \$689,900 | 0 | (\$294,570) | -29.9\% |
| \$8,400 | 0 | \$7,198 | 0 | $(\$ 1,202)$ | -14.3\% |
| \$2,065,785 | 25 | \$1,811,477 | 25 | $(\$ 254,308)$ | -12.3\% |


[^0]:    *** The FY2020 Internal Expenditure Plan includes $\$ 125,000$ utilizing the MDC Revolving Fund of the total available $.5 \%$ of operating expenditures.

[^1]:    Salaried includes one new position for FY2019 - Forestry/Wildlife Technician.
    (之 Term includes 2 additional Protection Agent Trainees for the training class starting in April of FY19
    ${ }^{(3}$ Calculated full time equivalent (FTE) based on budget divided by $\$ 11.97$ average hourly wage (CI $\$ 23.53$ average hourly wage) divided by 2,080 hours.
    ${ }^{4}$ 1-Resource Science, 5-Outreach \& Education; Total 6)

[^2]:    C $=$ Corp of Engineers
    F $=$ Federal Sport Fish Restoration

[^3]:    C = Corp of Engineers
    F $=$ Federal Sport Fish Restoration

[^4]:    *Does not include retirements.

